arXiv:1512.08613v1 [math.DG] 29 Dec 2015

DESINGULARIZATION OF LIE GROUPOIDS AND PSEUDODIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS ON SINGULAR SPACES

VICTOR NISTOR

Abstract. We introduce and study a "desingularization" of a Lie groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ along an "$A(\mathcal{G})$-tame" submanifold L of the space of units M. An $A(\mathcal{G})$-tame submanifold $L \subset M$ is one that has, by definition, a tubular neighborhood on which $A(\mathcal{G})$ becomes a thick pull-back Lie algebroid. The construction of the desingularization $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]$ of $\mathcal{G}$ along L is based on a canonical fibered pull-back groupoid structure result for $\mathcal{G}$ in a neighborhood of the tame $A(\mathcal{G})$-submanifold $L \subset M$. This local structure result is obtained by integrating a certain groupoid morphism, using results of Moerdijk and Mrcun (Amer. J. Math. 2002). Locally, the desingularization $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]$ is defined using a construction of Debord and Skandalis (Advances in Math., 2014). The space of units of the desingularization $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]$ is $[M : L]$, the blow up of M along L. The space of units and the desingularization groupoid $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]$ are constructed using a gluing construction of Gualtieri and Li (IMRN 2014). We provide an explicit description of the structure of the desingularized groupoid and we identify its Lie algebroid, which is important in analysis applications. We also discuss a variant of our construction that is useful for analysis on asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds. We conclude with an example relating our constructions to the so called "edge pseudodifferential calculus." The paper is written such that it also provides an introduction to Lie groupoids designed for applications to analysis on singular spaces.

Contents

Introduction 2
A note on notation and terminology 4
Acknowledgements 4
1. Preliminaries on Lie algebroids 4
1.1. Manifolds with corners and notation 4
1.2. Definition of Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids 8
1.3. Direct products and pull-backs of Lie algebroids 10
2. Constructions with Lie groupoids 12
2.1. Basic examples of groupoids 12
2.2. Adiabatic groupoids and the edge-modification 14
2.3. Glueing Lie groupoids 18
3. Desingularization groupoids 19
3.1. A structure theorem near tame submanifolds 19
3.2. The edge modification 20

Date: December 31, 2015.
V. Nistor has been partially supported by ANR-14-CE25-0012-01 (SINGSTAR).
Manuscripts available from **http://iecl.univ-lorraine.fr/Victor.Nistor/**
AMS Subject classification (2010): 58H05 (primary), 58J40, 22A22, 47L80.

3.3. The Lie algebroid of the desingularization 23
4. Extensions and examples 27
4.1. The Lie algebroid of the anisotropic blow-up 27
4.2. The asymptotically hyperbolic modification 27
4.3. The local structure of the desingularization for pair groupoids 28
4.4. An example: the 'edge calculus' groupoid 29
References 30

Introduction

Motivated by certain questions in analysis on singular spaces, we introduce and study the desingularization of a Lie groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ with respect to a tame submanifold L of its set of units M. More precisely, let $A \to M$ be a Lie algebroid over a manifold with corners M and let $L \subset M$ be a submanifold. Recall that L is called A-*tame* if it has a tubular neighborhood $\pi : U \to L$ in M such that the restriction $A|_U$ is isomorphic to the thick pull-back Lie algebroid $\pi^{\downarrow\downarrow}(B)$, for some Lie algebroid $B \to L$. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a Lie groupoid with units M and Lie algebroid $A(\mathcal{G})$. Let $L \subset M$ be an $A(\mathcal{G})$-tame submanifold. In this paper, we define and study a new Lie groupoid $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]$, called the "desingularization" of $\mathcal{G}$ along L. The desingularization $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]$ has units $[M : L]$, the blow-up of M along L, and plays in the category of Lie algebroids a role similar to the role played by the usual (real) blow-up in the category of manifolds with corners.

Let us try now to give a quick idea of this desingularization procedure, the full details being given in the main body of the paper. Let $\mathcal{G}$ is a Lie groupoid with units M (we write $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$) and let $L \subset M$ be an $A(\mathcal{G})$-tame submanifold with tubular neighborhood $\pi : U \to L$. In particular, the blow-up $[M : L]$ is also defined if L is tame. Let us also assume the fibration $\pi : U \to L$ to be a ball bundle over L. The reduction groupoid $\mathcal{G}_U^U$ will then have a fibered pull-back groupoid structure on U (Theorem 3.3), and hence we can replace it with a slight modification of the adiabatic groupoid to define the *desingularization* $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]$ of $\mathcal{G}$ along L. To this end, we use also a gluing construction due to Gualtieri and Li [21].

Our definition of the desingularization of a Lie groupoid with respect to a tame submanifold is motivated by the method of successively blowing-up the lowest dimensional strata of a singular space, which was successfully used in the analysis on singular spaces. The successive blow up of the lowest dimensional singular strata of a (suitable) singular space leads to the eventual removal of all singularities. This approach was used in [6] to obtain a well-posedness result for the Poisson problem in weighted Sobolev spaces on n-dimensional polyhedral domains using energy methods (the Lax-Milgram lemma). One would like to use also other methods than the energy method to study singular spaces, such as the method of layer potentials, but then one has to study the resulting integral kernel operators.

In fact, our definition of desingularization groupoid provides the necessary results for the construction of integral kernel operators on the resulting blown-up spaces, since the kernels of the resulting integral operators will be defined on the groupoid. It turns out that quite general operators can be obtained using invariant pseudodifferential operators on the groupoid [3, 4, 41, 47]. For instance, by combining this desingularization construction with the construction of psedodifferential

operators on groupoids, one can recover the pseudodifferential calculi of Grushin [20], Mazzeo [38], and Schulze [54, 55].

A groupoid $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$ (that is, a groupoid with units M), can be used to model the analysis on M, which is our main interest. While our desingularization procedure is the groupoid counterpart of the blow-up of M with respect to a tame submanifold, it is the later that is our main interest. This leads necessarily to manifolds with corners, as follows: the blow-up of a smooth manifold with respect to a submanifold is a manifold with boundary, but the blow-up of a manifold with boundary along a tame submanifold is a manifold with corners. In general, the blow-up of a manifold with corners with respect to a tame submanifold is a manifold with corners of higher maximum codimension (i.e. rank). Thus, even if one is interested in analysis on smooth manifolds, sometimes one is lead to consider also manifolds with corners. See, for example, [6, 15, 27, 42] for some motivation and futher references. This paper will thus provide the background for the construction of the integral kernel (or pseudodifferential) operators on the resulting blown-up spaces.

The paper is organized as follows. The first section is devoted mostly to background material. We thus review manifolds with corners and tame submersions and establish a canonical (i.e. fibration) local form for a tame submersion that generalizes to manifolds with corners the classical result in the smooth case. We then recall the definitions of a Lie groupoid, of a Lie algebroid, and of the Lie algebroid associated to a Lie groupoid. We do that in the framework that we need, that is, that of manifolds with corners. Almost everything extends to the setting of manifolds with corners without any significant change. One must be careful, however, to use *tame fibrations*. One of the main results of this paper is the construction of the desingularization of a Lie groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ along an $A(\mathcal{G})$-tame submanifold. This requires several other, intermediate constructions, such as that of the adiabatic (deformation) groupoid and of the thick pull-back Lie algebroid. In the second section, we thus review and extend all these examples as well as other, more basic ones that are needed in the construction of the desingularization groupoid. In particular, we introduce the so called "edge modification" of a groupoid using results of Debord and Skandalis [17]. We shall need a gluing construction due to Gualtieri and Li [21], which we also review and extend to our setting. The third section contains most of our main results. We first prove a local structure theorem for a Lie groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ with units M in a tubular neighborhood $\pi : U \to L$ of an $A(\mathcal{G})$-tame submanifold $L \subset M$ using results on the integration of Lie algebroid morphisms due to Moerdijk and Mrcun [39]. More precisely, we prove that the reduction of $\mathcal{G}$ to U is isomorphic to $\pi^{\downarrow\downarrow}(\mathcal{G}_L^L)$, the fibered pull-back groupoid to U of the reduction of $\mathcal{G}$ to L. This allows us to define the desingularization first for this type of fibered pull-back groupoids. We identify the Lie algebroid of the desingularization as the desingularization of its Lie algebroid (the desingularization of a Lie algebroid was introduced in [1]). We also introduce an *anisotropic* version of the desingularized groupoid and determine its Lie algebroid as well. We conclude with an example related to the 'edge'-calculus (see [28] and the references therein).

The paper is written such that it provides also an introduction to Lie groupoids for students and researchers interested in their applications to analysis on singular spaces. This is the reason for which the first two sections contain additional material that will help people interested in analysis understand the role of groupoids. For instance, we discuss the convolution algebras of some classes of Lie groupoids. We

also provide most of the needed definitions to make the paper as self-contained as possible. We also study in detail the many needed examples.

A note on notation and terminology. We shall use manifolds with corners extensively. They are defined in Subsection 1.1. A manifold without corners will be called *smooth*. We take the point of view that all maps, submanifolds, and so on will be defined in the same way in the corner case as in the smooth case, except that all our submanifolds will be assumed to be *closed*. Sometimes, we need maps and submanifolds with special properties, they will usually be termed "tame", for instance, a tame submersion of manifolds with corners will have the property that all its fibers are smooth manifolds. This property is not shared by general submersions, however. Also, we use only *real* vector bundles and functions, to avoid confusion and simplify notation. The results extend without any difficulty to the complex case, when one wishes so.

Moreover, all our manifolds will be paracompact, but we do not require them to be Hausdorff in general. However, all the spaces of units of groupoids and the bases of Lie groupoids will be Hausdorff.

Acknowledgements. We thank Daniel and Ingrid Beltiţă and Kirill Mackenzie for useful discussions.

1. Preliminaries on Lie algebroids

We now recall the needed definitions and properties of Lie groupoids and of Lie algebroids. We shall work with manifolds with corners, so we also recall some basic definitions and results on manifolds with corners. Few results in this section are new, although the presentation probably is. We refer to Mackenzie's books [32, 33] for a nice introduction to the subject, as well as to further references and historical comments on of Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids. See also [9, 36, 40] for the more specialized issues relating to the applications envisioned in this paper.

1.1. **Manifolds with corners and notation.** In the following, by a *manifold*, we shall mean a $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-manifold, possibly with corners. By a *smooth manifold* we shall mean a $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-manifold *without corners.* All our manifolds will be assumed to be paracompact. Recall [25, 37, 27] (and the references therein) that M is a *manifold with corners* of dimension n if it is locally diffeomorphic to an open subset of $[-1,1]^n$ with smooth changes of coordinates. A point $p \in M$ is called of *depth* k if it has a neighborhood V_p diffeomorphic to $[0,a)^k \times (-a,a)^{n-k}$, $a > 0$, by a diffeomorphism $\phi_p : V_p \to [0,a)^k \times (-a,a)^{n-k}$ mapping p to the origin: $\phi_p(p) = 0$. Such a neighborhood will be called *standard*. A function $f : M \to M_1$ between two manifolds with corners will be called *smooth* if it its components are smooth in all coordinate charts.

A connected component F of the set of points of depth k will be called an *open face (of codimension k)* of M. The maximum depths of a point in M will be called the *rank* of M. Thus the smooth manifolds are exactly the manifolds of rank zero. The closure in M of an open face F of M will be called a *closed* face of M. The closed faces of M may not be manifolds with corners on their own.

We define the tangent space to a manifold with corners TM as usual, that is, as follows: the vector space T_pM is the set of derivations $D_p : \mathcal{C}^\infty(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $D_p(fg) = f(p)D_p(g) + D_p(f)g(p)$ and TM is the disjoint union of the vector spaces T_pM, with $p \in M$. Let v be a tangent vector to M (say $v \in T_pM$). We say that

v is *inward pointing* if, by definition, there exists a smooth curve $\gamma : [0,1] \to M$ such that $\gamma'(0) = v$ (so $\gamma(0) = p$). The set of inward pointing vectors in $v \in T_x(M)$ will form a closed cone denoted $T_x^+(M)$. If, close to x, our manifold with corners is given by the conditions $\{f_i(y) \geq 0\}$ with df_i linearly independent at x, then the cone $T_x^+(M)$ is given by

$$T_x^+(M) \,=\, \{v \in T_xM,\, df_i(v) \geq 0\}. \tag{1}$$

Let M and M_1 be manifolds with corners and $f : M_1 \to M$ be a smooth map. Then f induces a vector bundle map $df : TM_1 \to TM$, as in the smooth case, satisfying also $df(T_z^+(M_1)) \subset T_{f(z)}^+M$. If the smooth map $f : M_1 \to M$ is injective, has injective differential df, and has closed range, then we say that $f(M_1)$ is a (closed) *submanifold* of M. All our submanifolds will be closed, so we shall simply say "manifold" instead of "closed manifold." We are thus imposing the least restrictions on smooth maps and submanifolds, unlike [25], for example. For example, a smooth map f between manifolds with corners is a *submersion* if, by definition, the differential $df = f_*$ is surjective (as in the case of smooth manifolds). However, we will typically need a special class of submersions with additional, properties, the *tame submersions*. More precisely, we have the following definition.

Definition 1.1. A *tame submersion* h between two manifolds with corners M_1 and M is a smooth map $h : M_1 \to M$ such that its differential dh is surjective everywhere and

$$(dh_x)^{-1}(T_{h(x)}^+M) \,=\, T_x^+M_1\,.$$

(That is, $dh(v)$ is an inward pointing vector of M if, and only if, v is an inward pointing vector of M_1.)

We do not require our tame submersions to be surjective (although, as we will see soon below, they are open, as in the smooth case). We shall need the following lemma.

Lemma 1.2. *Let $h : M_1 \to M$ be a tame submersion of manifolds with corners. Then x and $h(x)$ have the same depth.*

Proof. This is because the depth of x in M is the same as the depth of the origin 0 in $T_x^+M_1$, which, in turn, is the same as the depth of the origin 0 in $T_{h(x)}^+M$ since dh_x is surjective and $(dh_x)^{-1}(T_{h(x)}^+M) = T_x^+M_1$. □

The following lemma is probably known, but we could not find a suitable reference.

Lemma 1.3. *Let $h : M_1 \to M$ be a tame submersion of manifolds with corners.*

(i) The rank of M_1 is $\leq$ the rank of M.
(ii) For $m_1 \in M_1$, there exists an open neighborhood U_1 of m_1 in M_1 such that $U := h(U_1)$ is open and the restriction of h to U_1 is a fibration $U_1 \to U$.
(iii) Let $L \subset M$ be a submanifold, then $L_1 := h^{-1}(L)$ is a submanifold of M_1 of rank $\leq$ the rank of L.

Proof. We have already noticed that the depths of x and $h(x)$ are the same (Lemma 1.2), so the rank of M_1, which is the maximum of the depths of $x \in M_1$, is inferior or equal to the rank of M. This proves (i).

Let us now prove (ii). Let $m_1 \in M_1$ be of depth k. We can choose a standard neighbourhood W_1 of m_1 in M_1 and a standard neighborhood W of $h(m_1)$ in M such that $h(W_1) \subset W$. Since our problem is local, we may assume that $M_1 = W_1 = [0,a)^k \times (-a,a)^{n_1-k}$ and $M = W = [0,b)^k \times (-b,b)^{n-k}$, $a, b > 0$, with m_1 and $h(m_1)$ corresponding to the origins. Note that both M and M_1 will then be manifolds with corners of rank k; this is possible since h preserves the depth, by Lemma 1.2. We can then extend h to a map $h_0 : Y_1 := (-a,a)^{n_1} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ that is a (usual) submersion at $0 = m_1$ (not necessarily tame). By decreasing a, if necessary, we may assume that h_0 is a (usual) submersion everywhere and hence that $h_0(Y_1)$ is open in $\mathbb{R}^n$. By standard differential geometry results, we can then choose an open neighborhood V of $0 = h_0(m_1)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and an open neighborhood V_1 of $0 = m_1$ in $Y_1 := (-a,a)^{n_1}$ such that the restriction h_1 of h_0 to V_1 is a fibration $h_1 : V_1 \to V$ with fibers diffeomorphic to $(-1,1)^{n_1-n}$. By further decreasing V and V_1, we may assume that V is an open ball centered at 0.

Next, we notice that $M \cap V$ consists of the vectors in V that have the first k components ≥ 0. By construction, we therefore have that

$$h_1(M_1 \cap V_1) \,=\, h_0(M_1 \cap V_1) \,\subset\, M \cap V \,=\, \Big([0,b)^k \times (-b,b)^{n-k} \Big) \cap V \,.$$

Let $U_1 := M_1 \cap V_1$. We will show that we have in fact more, namely, that we have

$$U_1 \,=\, h_1^{-1}(M \cap V) \quad \text{and} \quad h_1(U_1) = M \cap V \,, \tag{2}$$

which will prove (ii) for $U_1 := M_1 \cap V_1$, since $h_1 : V_1 \to V$ is a fibration with fibers diffeomorphic to $(-1,1)^{n_1-n}$ and $h(U_1) = h_1(U_1) = M \cap V$ is open in M.

Indeed, in order to prove the relations in Equation (2), let us notice that, since h_1 is surjective, it is enough to prove that $U_1 = h_1^{-1}(M \cap V)$, since that will then give, right away that $h_1(U_1) = M \cap V$. The relations in Equation (2) will be enough to complete the proof of (ii). Let us assume then, by contradiction, that it is not true that $U_1 = h_1^{-1}(M \cap V)$. This means that there exists $p = (p_i) \in V_1 \smallsetminus M_1$ such that $h_1(p) = h_0(p) \in M \cap V = \big([0,b)^k \times (-b,b)^{n-k}\big) \cap V$. Let us choose $q = (q_i)$ in $M_1 \cap V_1$ of depth zero. That is, we assume that q is an interior point of $M_1 \cap V_1$. Then the two points $h_1(p) = h_0(p)$ and $h_1(q) = h_0(q) = h(q)$ both belong to M, more precisely,

$$h_1(p), h_1(q) \,\in\, M \cap V \,=\, \big([0,b)^k \times (-b,b)^{n-k}\big) \cap V \,,$$

which is the first octant in a ball. Therefore $h_1(p)$ and $h_1(q)$ can be joined by a path $\gamma = (\gamma_i) : [0,1] \to M \cap V$, with $\gamma(1) = h_1(p)$ (and hence with $\gamma(0) = h_1(q)$). All paths are assumed to be continuous, by definition. Since h preserves the depth, $h_1(q) = h_0(q) = h(q)$ is moreover an interior point of $M \cap V$. Therefore we may assume that the path $\gamma(t)$ consists completely of interior points of M for $t < 1$.

We can lift the path γ to a path $\tilde{\gamma} : [0,1] \to V_1$ with $\tilde{\gamma}(0) = q$, $\tilde{\gamma}(1) = p$, $\gamma = h_1 \circ \tilde{\gamma}$, since

$$h_1 := h_0|_{V_1} : V_1 \to V$$

is a fibration. We have $\tilde{\gamma}_i(0) = q_i > 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$, since $q = (q_i)$ is an interior point of $V_1 \cap M_1$. On the other hand, since $p \notin M_1$, there exists at least one i, $1 \leq i \leq k$, such that $\tilde{\gamma}_i(1) = p_i < 0$. Since $\tilde{\gamma}_i(0) = q_i > 0$ and the functions $\tilde{\gamma}_j$ are continuous, we obtain that the set

$$Z \,:=\, \cup_{j=1}^n \tilde{\gamma}_j^{-1}(0) \,=\, \{\, t \in [0,1], \;\; \text{there exists} \;\; 1 \leq j \leq k \;\; \text{such that} \;\; \tilde{\gamma}_j(t) = 0 \,\}$$

is closed and non-empty. Let $t_* = \inf Z \in Z$. Then $t_* > 0$ since $q = (q_i) = (\tilde{\gamma}_i(0))$ is of depth zero, meaning that $\tilde{\gamma}_j(0) > 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$, and hence that $0 \notin Z$. Using again $\tilde{\gamma}_j(0) > 0$, we obtain $\tilde{\gamma}_i(s) > 0$ for all $0 \leq s < t_*$, by the minimality of t_*, since the functions $\tilde{\gamma}_j$ are continuous. Hence $\tilde{\gamma}(s) \in M_1 \subset Y_1$ for $s < t_*$. (Recall that $h_0 : Y_1 := (-a, a)^{n_1} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and that we are assuming $M_1 = [0, 1)^k \times (-1, 1)^{n-k}$.) We obtain that $\tilde{\gamma}(t_*) \in M_1 \cap V_1$, because M_1 is closed in Y_1. Therefore $t_* < 1$, because $p = \tilde{\gamma}(1) \notin M_1$. Since $\tilde{\gamma}_j(t_*) = 0$ for some j, we have that $\tilde{\gamma}(t_*)$ is a boundary point of M_1, and hence it has depth > 0. Hence the depth of $\gamma(t_*) = h_0(\tilde{\gamma}(t_*)) = h(\tilde{\gamma}(t_*))$ is also > 0 since h preserves the depth. But this is a contradiction since $\gamma(t)$ was constructed to consist entirely of interior points for $t < 1$. This proves (ii).

The last part is a consequence of (ii), as follows. We use the same notation as in the proof of (ii). We may assume $h^{-1}(L)$ to be non-empty, because otherwise the statement is obviously true. Let us choose then $m_1 \in h^{-1}(L)$ and denote $m = h(m_1)$. By the statement (ii) just proved, there exit neighborhoods U_1 of m_1 and U of m such that the restriction of h to U_1 induces a fibration $h_2 := h|_{U_1} : U_1 \to U$. By decreasing U_1 and U, if necessary, we can assume that the fibers of h_2 are diffeomorphic to $(-1, 1)^{n-n'}$. Let V_1 be a standard neighborhood of $m = h(m_1)$ in L. Then $h_2^{-1}(V_1)$ is a standard neighborhood of m_1 in $h^{-1}(L)$. This completes the proof of (iii) and, hence, also of the lemma. □

We shall use the above result in the following way:

Corollary 1.4. *Let $h : M_1 \to M$ be a tame submersion of manifolds with corners.*

(i) h is an open map.

(ii) The fibers $h^{-1}(m)$, $m \in M$, are smooth manifolds (that is, they have no corners).

(iii) Let us denote by $\Delta \in M \times M$ be the diagonal and by $h \times h : M_1 \times M_1 \to M \times M$ the product map $h \times h(m, m') = (h(m), h(m'))$. Then $(h \times h)^{-1}(\Delta)$ is a submanifold of $M_1 \times M_1$ of the same rank as M_1.

Proof. The first part follows from Lemma 1.3(ii). The second and third parts follow from Lemma 1.3(iii), by taking $L = \{m\}$ for (ii) and $L = \Delta$ for (iii). □

We shall use the following conventions and notations.

Notations 1.5. If $E \to X$ is a smooth vector bundle, we denote by $\Gamma(X; E)$ (respectively, by $\Gamma_c(X; E)$) the space of smooth (respectively, smooth, compactly supported) sections of E. Sometimes, when no confusion can arise, we simply write $\Gamma(E)$, or, respectively, $\Gamma_c(E)$ instead of $\Gamma(X; E)$, respectively $\Gamma_c(X; E)$. If M is a manifold with corners, we shall denote by

$$\mathcal{V}_b(M) := \{ X \in \Gamma(M; TM),\ X \text{ tangent to all faces of } M \}$$

the set of vector fields on M that are tangent to all faces of M [27].

For further reference, let us recall a classical result of Serre and Swan [26], which we formulate in the way that we will use.

Theorem 1.6 (Serre-Swan, [26])**.** *Let M be a compact Hausdorff manifold with corners and $\mathcal{V}$ be a finitely generated, projective $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$-module. Then there exists a real vector bundle $E_{\mathcal{V}} \to M$, uniquely determined up to isomorphism, such that $\mathcal{V} \simeq \Gamma(M; E_{\mathcal{V}})$ as $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$-module. We can choose $E_{\mathcal{V}}$ to depend functorially*

on $\mathcal{V}$, in particular, any $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$-module morphism $f : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{W} \simeq \Gamma(M; E_{\mathcal{W}})$ induces a unique smooth vector bundle morphism $\tilde{f} : E_{\mathcal{V}} \to E_{\mathcal{W}}$ compatible with the isomorphisms $\mathcal{V} \simeq \Gamma(M; E_{\mathcal{V}})$ and $\mathcal{W} \simeq \Gamma(M; E_{\mathcal{W}})$.

In particular, there exists a (unique up to isomorphism) vector bundle T^bM such that $\Gamma(T^bM) \simeq \mathcal{V}_b(M)$ as $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$-modules [27], where $\mathcal{V}_b$ is as introduced in 1.5.

1.2. **Definition of Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids.** Recall that a *groupoid* $\mathcal{G}$ is a small category in which every morphism is invertible. The class of objects of $\mathcal{G}$, denoted $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, is thus a set. For convenience, we shall denote $M := \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$. The set of morphisms $\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{G}^{(1)}$ is thus also a set.

One typically thinks of a groupoid in terms of its structural morphisms. Thus the domain and range of a morphism give rise to maps $d, r : \mathcal{G} \to M$. We shall therefore write $d, r : \mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$ (or, simply, $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$) for a groupoid with units M. We shall denote by $\mu(g, h) = gh$ the composition of two composable morphisms g and h, that is, the composition of two morphisms satisfying $d(g) = r(h)$ and by

$$\mathcal{G}^{(2)} := \{(g, h) \in \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G},\ d(g) = r(h)\} \tag{3}$$

the domain of the composition map μ. Let us notice that, by Corollary 1.4, the set $\mathcal{G}^{(2)}$ is a manifold with corners whenever M and $\mathcal{G}$ are manifolds with corners and d and r are tame submersions of manifolds with corners.

The objects of $\mathcal{G}$ will also be called *units* and the morphisms of $\mathcal{G}$ will also be called *arrows*. To the groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ there are also associated the inverse map $i(g) = g^{-1}$ and the embedding $u : M \to \mathcal{G}$, which associates to each object its identity morphism. If M and $\mathcal{G}$ are manifolds with corners and i is smooth and d is a tame submersion of manifolds with corners, then r is also a tame submersion of manifolds with corners.

For simplicity, we typically write $gh := \mu(g, h)$. The structural morphism d, r, μ, i, u will satisfy the following conditions [9, 33, 39]:

(1) $g_1(g_2g_3) = (g_1g_2)g_3$ for any $g_i \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $d(g_i) = r(g_{i+1})$.
(2) $gu(d(g)) = g$ and $u(r(g))g = g$ for any $g \in \mathcal{G}$.
(3) $gi(g) = u(r(g))$ and $i(g)g = u(d(g))$ for any $g \in \mathcal{G}$.

Recall then

Definition 1.7. A *Lie groupoid* is a groupoid $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$ such that

(1) M and $\mathcal{G}$ are manifolds (possibly with corners), with M Hausdorff,
(2) the structural morphisms d, r, i, u are smooth,
(3) d is a tame submersion of manifolds with corners (so $\mathcal{G}^{(2)}$ is a manifold) and $\mu : \mathcal{G}^{(2)} \to \mathcal{G}$ is smooth.

Note that we do not assume $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}^{(1)}$ to be Hausdorff, although that will be the case for most groupoids considered in this paper. Lie groupoids were introduced by Ehresmann. See [33] for a comprehensive introduction to the subject as well as for more references. Note that $\mathcal{G}$ is *not* required to be Hausdorff, as this will needlessly remove a large class of important examples, such as the ones arising in the study of foliations [11].

We are interested in Lie groupoids since many operators of interest have distribution kernels that are naturally defined on a Lie groupoid. Let us see how this is achieved in the case of regularizing operators. Let $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid and let us choose a metric on $A(\mathcal{G})$. We can use this metric and the projections

$r : \mathcal{G}_x \to M$ that satisfy $T\mathcal{G}_x \simeq r^*(A(\mathcal{G}))$ to obtain a family of metrics g_x on $\mathcal{G}_x$. By constructions, these metrics will be right invariant. Whenever integrating on a set of the form $\mathcal{G}_x$, $x \in M$, we shall do that with respect to the volume form associated to g_x. Let us assume, for simplicity that $\mathcal{G}$ is Hausdorff. We then define a convolution product on $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{G})$ by the formula

$$\phi * \psi(g) := \int_{\mathcal{G}_{d(g)}} \phi(gh^{-1})\psi(h)dh\,. \tag{4}$$

A *subgroupoid* of a groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ is a subset $\mathcal{H}$ such that the structural morphisms of $\mathcal{G}$ induce a groupoid structure on $\mathcal{H}$. We shall need the notion of a *Lie subgroupoid* of a Lie groupoid, which is closely modeled on the definition in [33]. Recall that if M is a manifold with corners and $L \subset M$ is a subset, we say that L is a submanifold of M if it is a *closed* subset, if it is a manifold with corners in its own with for topology induced from M, and if the inclusion $L \to M$ is smooth and has injective differential.

Definition 1.8. Let $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid. A Lie groupoid $\mathcal{H} \rightrightarrows L$ is a *Lie subgroupoid* of $\mathcal{G}$ if L is a submanifold of M and $\mathcal{H}$ is a submanifold of $\mathcal{G}$ with the groupoid structural maps induced from $\mathcal{G}$. (So L and $\mathcal{H}$ are closed subsets, according to our conventions.)

Lie groupoids generalize Lie groups. By analogy, a Lie groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ will have an associated infinitesimal object $A(\mathcal{G})$, the "Lie algebroid associated to to $\mathcal{G}$." To define it, let us first recall the definition of a Lie algebroid. See Pradines' [50] for the original definition and Mackenzie's books [33] a comprehensive introduction to their general theory.

Definition 1.9. A *Lie algebroid* $A \to M$ is a real vector bundle over a Hausdorff manifold with corners M together with a *Lie algebra* structure on $\Gamma(M; A)$ (with bracket $[\ ,\ ]$) and a vector bundle map $\varrho : A \to TM$, called *anchor*, such that the induced map $\varrho_* : \Gamma(M; A) \to \Gamma(M; TM)$ satisfies the following two conditions:

(i) $\varrho_*([X, Y]) = [\varrho_*(X), \varrho_*(Y)]$ and
(ii) $[X, fY] = f[X, Y] + (\varrho_*(X)f)Y$, for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(M; A)$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$.

Morphisms of Lie algebroids are tricky to define in general (see for instance 4.3.1 [33]), but we will need only special cases. The isomorphisms are easy. Two groupoids $A_i \to M_i$ are *isomorphic* if there exists a vector bundle isomorphism $\phi : A_1 \to A_2$ that preserves the corresponding Lie brackets. If $M_1 = M_2 = M$, we will consider morphisms *over* M. (Often, however, this "over M" will be omitted.) Unless explicitly stated otherwise, an isomorphism of two Lie algebroids will induced the *identity* on the base, with the exception when this isomorphism comes from the action of a given Lie group. The same convention applies to the isomorphisms of Lie groupoids.

Definition 1.10. Let $A_i \to M$ be two Lie algebroids. A *morphism over* M of A_1 to A_2 is a vector bundle morphism $\phi : A_1 \to A_2$ that induces the identity over M and is compatible with the anchor maps and the Lie brackets.

More precisely, the map ϕ of this definition satisfies $\varrho(\phi(X)) = \varrho(X)$ and $\varrho([X, Y]) = [\varrho(X), \varrho(Y)]$ for all sections X and Y of A_1. See 3.3.1 of [33].

The following simple remark will be useful in the proof of Theorem 3.19.

Lemma 1.11. *Let $A \to M$ be a Lie algebroid and $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ be such that $\{f = 0\}$ has an empty interior. Then $f\Gamma(M;A) \subset \Gamma(M;A)$ is a finitely generated, projective module and a Lie subalgebra. Thus there exists a Lie algebroid, denoted fA, such that $\Gamma(fA) := \Gamma(M; fA) \simeq f\Gamma(A)$.*

Proof. The proof of the Lemma relies on two simple calculations, which nevertheless will be useful in what follows. Let $X, Y \in \Gamma(A) := \Gamma(M;A)$. We have

$$[fX, fY] \,=\, fX(f)Y - fY(f)X + f^2[X,Y] \,\in\, \Gamma(fA)\,. \tag{5}$$

The proof is complete. □

Recall the following definition (see [33, 51]).

Definition 1.12. Let R be a commutative associative unital real algebra and let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra and an R-module such that $\mathfrak{g}$ acts by derivations on R and the Lie bracket satisfies

$$[X, rY] \,=\, r[X,Y] + X(r)Y\,, \quad \text{for all } \; r \in R \;\text{ and }\; X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}\,.$$

Then we say that $\mathfrak{g}$ is an *R-Lie-Rinehart algebra*.

Let M be a compact manifold with corners. We thus see that the category of Lie algebroids with base M is equivalent to the category of finitely-generated, projective $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$-Lie-Rinehart algebras, by the Serre-Swan Theorem, Theorem 1.6. It is useful in Analysis to think of Lie algebroids as comming from Lie-Rinehart algebras.

We now recall some basic constructions involving Lie algebroids. See [33] for more details. For further reference, let us introduce here the *isotropy* of a Lie algebroid.

Definition 1.13. Let $\varrho : A \to TM$ be a Lie algebroid on M with anchor ϱ. Then the kernel $\ker(\varrho_x : A_x \to T_xM)$ of the anchor is the *isotropy* of A at $x \in M$.

The isotropy at any point can be shown to be a Lie algebra.

1.3. **Direct products and pull-backs of Lie algebroids.** For the purpose of proving Theorems 3.3 and 3.24 below, we need a good understanding of thick pull-back Lie algebroids and of their relation to vector pull-backs. We thus recall the definition of the thick pull-back of a Lie algebroid and of the direct product of two Lie algebroids. More details can be found in [33], however, we use a simplified approach that is enough for our purposes. We therefore adapt accordingly our notation and terminology. For instance, we shall use the term "thick pull-back of Lie algebroids" (as in [3]) in order to avoid confusion with the ordinary (i.e. vector bundle) pull-back, which will also play a role. For example, vector pull-backs appear in the next lemma, Lemma 1.14, which states that a constant family of Lie algebroids defines a new Lie algebroid. We first make the following observations.

Lemma 1.14. *Let $A_2 \to M_2$ be a vector bundle and M_1 be another manifold. Let $A := p_2^*(A_2)$ be the vector bundle pull-back of A_2 to the product $M_1 \times M_2$ via projection $p_2 : M_1 \times M_2 \to M_2$. If $A_2 \to M_2$ is a Lie algebroid, then $A \to M_1 \times M_2$ is also Lie algebroid with $[f \otimes X, g \otimes Y] = fg \otimes [X,Y]$ for all $f, g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M_1)$ and $X, Y \in \Gamma(A_2)$.*

Proof. This follows from definitions. □

Remark 1.15. A slight generalization of Lemma 1.14 would be that if $\mathfrak{g}$ is an R-Lie-Rinehart algebra and R_1 is another ring, then $R \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ (tensor product over the real numbers) is an $R_1 \otimes R$-Lie-Rinehart algebra, except that, in our case, we are really considering also completions (of $R_1 \otimes R$ and of $R_1 \otimes \mathfrak{g}$) with respect to the natural topologies.

We now make the Lie algebroid structure in Lemma 1.14 more explicit.

Remark 1.16. Let us identify $\Gamma(M_1 \times M_2; A) \simeq \mathcal{C}^\infty(M_2; \Gamma(M_1; A_1))$. Then the Lie bracket on the space of sections of the $A \to M_1 \times M_2$ of Lemma 1.14 is given by

$$[X, Y](m) \,:=\, [X(m), Y(m)]\,,$$

where $m \in M$ and $X, Y \in \Gamma(M_1 \times M_2; A) \simeq \mathcal{C}^\infty(M_2; \Gamma(M_1; A_1))$, so that the evaluations $X(m), Y(m) \in \Gamma(M_1; A)$ are defined. The anchor is

$$\varrho : A \,\to\, p_1^*(TM_1) = TM_1 \times M_2 \subset T(M_1 \times M_2)\,.$$

We now introduce products of Lie algebroids [33] (our notation is slightly different from the one in that book).

Corollary 1.17. *Let $A_i \to M_i$, $i = 1, 2$, be Lie algebroids and let $p_1^*(A_1)$ and $p_2^*(A_2)$ be their vector bundle pull-backs to $M_1 \times M_2$ (introduced in Lemma 1.14) with their natural Lie algebroid structures. Then*

$$A_1 \boxtimes A_2 \,:=\, p_1^*(A_1) \oplus p_2^*(A_2) \simeq A_1 \times A_2 \to M_1 \times M_2$$

has a natural Lie algebroid structure $A_1 \boxtimes A_2 \to M_1 \times M_2$ such that $\Gamma(M_1; A_1)$ and $\Gamma(M_2; A_2)$ commute in $\Gamma(M_1 \times M_2; A_1 \boxtimes A_2)$. We notice that $\Gamma(M_1 \times M_2; p_i^*(A_i))$ is thus a sub Lie algebra of $\Gamma(M_1 \times M_2; A_1 \boxtimes A_2)$, $i = 1, 2$.

The Lie algebroid $A_1 \boxtimes A_2$ just defined is called the *direct product Lie algebroid* (see, for instance, [33]) and is thus isomorphic, as a vector bundle, to the product $A_1 \times A_2 \to M_1 \times M_2$. We shall need the following important related construction.

Definition 1.18. Let $A \to L$ be a Lie algebroid over L with anchor $\varrho : A \to TL$. Let $f : M \to L$ be a smooth map and define as in [23, pages 202–203]

$$A \oplus_{TL} TM \,:=\, \{\, (\xi, X) \in A \times TM,\, \varrho(\xi) = df(X) \in TL \,\}\,.$$

Assume $A \oplus_{TL} TM$ defines a smooth vector bundle over M. Then we define the *thick pull-back* Lie algebroid of A by f by $f^{\downarrow\downarrow}(A) := A \oplus_{TL} TM$.

As we will see shortly, it is easy to see that if f is a tame submersion of manifolds with corners, then $f^{\downarrow\downarrow}(A)$ is defined. We shall use Lemma 1.3(ii) to reduce to the case of products, which we treat first.

Lemma 1.19. *Let $A \to L$ be a Lie algebroid over a manifold with corners L and let Y be a smooth manifold. If f denotes the projection $L \times Y \to L$, then*

$$f^{\downarrow\downarrow}(A) \,\simeq\, A \boxtimes TY \,\simeq\, f^*(A) \oplus (L \times TY)\,,$$

the first isomorphism being an isomorphism of Lie algebroids and the second isomorphism being simply an isomorphism of vector bundles.

Proof. The result then follows from Definition 1.18 and Corollary 1.17. □

Thus, in general, the Lie algebroid pull-back (or thick pull-back) $f^{\downarrow\downarrow}A$ will be non-isomorphic to the vector bundle pull-back $f^*(A)$. The following was stated in the smooth case in [33].

Proposition 1.20. *Let $f : M \to L$ be a surjective tame submersion of manifolds with corners and $A \to L$ be a Lie algebroid. Then the thick pull-back $f^{\downarrow\downarrow}(A)$ is defined (that is, it is a Lie algebroid). Let $T_{vert}(f) := \ker(f_* : TM \to TL)$, then $T_{vert}(f) \subset f^{\downarrow\downarrow}(A)$ is an inclusion of Lie algebroids and $A \simeq \big(f^{\downarrow\downarrow}(A)/\ker(f_*)\big)|_L$ as vector bundles.*

Proof. This is a local problem, so the result follows from Lemma 1.19. □

Let us recall now the definition of the Lie algebroid $A(\mathcal{G})$ associated to a Lie groupoid $\mathcal{G}$, due to Pradine [50]. Let $d, r : \mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid, then we let

$$A(\mathcal{G}) := \ker(d_* : T\mathcal{G} \to TM)|_M ,$$

that is, $A(\mathcal{G})$ is the restriction to the units of the kernel of the differential of the domain map d. The sections of $A(\mathcal{G})$ identify with the space of d-horizontal, right invariant vector fields on $\mathcal{G}$ (that is, vector fields on $\mathcal{G}$ that are tangent to the submanifolds $\mathcal{G}_x := d^{-1}(x)$ and are invariant with respect to the natural action of $\mathcal{G}$ by right translations). The groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ acts by right translations on $\mathcal{G}_x$ in the sense that if $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}$ has $r(\gamma) = x$ and $d(\gamma) = y$, then the map $\mathcal{G}_x \ni h \to h\gamma \in \mathcal{G}_y$ is a diffeomorphism. In particular, the space of sections of $A(\mathcal{G}) \to M$ has a natural Lie bracket that makes it into a Lie algebroid.

Definition 1.21. Let $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid, then the Lie algebroid $A(\mathcal{G})$ is called the *Lie algebroid associated to $\mathcal{G}$.*

2. Constructions with Lie groupoids

We now introduce some basic constructions using Lie groupoids.

2.1. Basic examples of groupoids.

We continue with various examples of constructions of Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids that will be needed in what follows.

We begin with the three basic examples. Most of these examples are extensions to the smooth category of some examples from the locally compact category. We will not treat the locally compact category separately, however.

Example 2.1. Any *Lie group* G is a Lie groupoid with associated Lie algebroid $A(G) = Lie(G)$, the Lie algebra of G. Let us assume G unimodular, for simplicity, then the product on $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$, Equation 4, is simply the convolution product with respect to the Haar measure.

At the other end of the spectrum, we have the following example.

Example 2.2. Let M be a manifold with corners and $\mathcal{G}^{(1)} = \mathcal{G}^{(0)} = M$, so the groupoid of this example contains only units. We shall call a groupoid with these properties a *space*. We have $A(M) = M \times \{0\}$, the zero vector bundle over M The product on $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M)$ is nothing but the pointwise product of two functions.

We thus see that the category of Lie groupoids contains the subcategories of Lie groups and of manifolds (possibly with corners). The last basic example is that of a product.

Example 2.3. Let $\mathcal{G}_i \rightrightarrows M_i$, $i = 1, 2$, be two Lie groupoids. Then $\mathcal{G}_1 \times \mathcal{G}_2$ is a Lie groupoid with units $M_1 \times M_2$. We have $A(\mathcal{G}_1 \times \mathcal{G}_2) \simeq A(\mathcal{G}_1) \boxtimes A(\mathcal{G}_2)$, by Proposition 4.3.10 in [33].

We shall need the following more specific classes of Lie groupoids. The goal is to build more and more general examples that will lead us our desired desingularization procedure. We proceed by small steps, mainly due to the complicated nature of this construction, but also because particular or intermediate cases of this construction are needed on their own. The following example is crucial in what follows, since it will be used in the definition of the desingularization groupoid.

Example 2.4. Let G be a Lie group with automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ and let P be a principal $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$-bundle. Then the associated fiber bundle $\mathcal{G} := P \times_{\mathrm{Aut}(G)} G$ with fiber G is a Lie groupoid called a *Lie group bundle* or a *bundle of Lie groups*. We have $d = r$ and $A(\mathcal{G}) \simeq P \times_{\mathrm{Aut}(G)} Lie(G)$ in this example. We shall be concerned with this example especially in the following two particular situations. Let $\pi : E \to M$ be a smooth *real vector bundle* over a manifold with corners. Then each fiber $E_m := \pi^{-1}(m)$ is a commutative Lie group, and hence E is a Lie groupoid with the corresponding Lie group bundle structure. The following frequently used example is obtained as follows. Let $\mathbb{R}^*_+ = (0, \infty)$ act on the fibers of the vector bundle $\pi : E \to M$ by dilation. This yields, for each $m \in M$, the semi-direct product $G_m := E_m \rtimes \mathbb{R}^*_+$. Then $\mathcal{G} := \cup G_m$ is a Lie group bundle, and hence has a natural Lie groupoid structure. Typically, we will have $E = A(\mathcal{H})$, the Lie algebroid of some Lie groupoid $\mathcal{H}$, in which case these constructions appear in the definitions of the adiabatic groupoid and of the edge modification, and hence in the definition of the desingularization of a Lie groupoid. Equation (4) becomes the fiberwise convolution.

Example 2.5. Let M be a smooth manifold (thus M *does not have corners*). Then we define the *pair groupoid* of M as $\mathcal{G} := M \times M$, a groupoid with units M and with d the second projection, r the first projection, and $(m_1, m_2)(m_2, m_3) = (m_1, m_3)$. We have $A(M \times M) = TM$, with anchor map the identity map. A related example is that of $\mathcal{P}M$, the *path groupoid* of M, defined as the set of fixed end point homotopy classes of paths in M. It has the same Lie algebroid as the pair groupoid: $A(\mathcal{P}M) = TM$, but it leads to differential operators with completely different properties (and hence to a different analysis). See [21] for a description of all groupoids integrating TM.

Remark 2.6. The product on $\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{C}^\infty_{\mathrm{c}}(M \times M)$ is, in the case of the pair groupoid, simply the product of integral kernels. Let us fix a metric on $A(M \times M) = TM$, and hence a measure on M. Then Equation (4) becomes

$$\phi * \psi(x, z) = \int_M \phi(x, y)\psi(y, z)dy\,. \tag{6}$$

This is the reason why the pair groupoids are so basic in our considerations.

We need to recall the concept of a *morphism* of two groupoids, because we want equivariance properties of our constructions.

Definition 2.7. Let $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$ and $\mathcal{H} \rightrightarrows L$ be two groupoids. A *morphism* $\phi : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{G}$ is a functor of the corresponding categories.

More concretely, given a morphism $\phi : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{G}$, it is required to satisfy $\phi(gh) = \phi(g)\phi(h)$. Then there will also exists a map $L \to M$, usually also denoted by ϕ, such that $d(\phi(g)) = \phi(d(g))$, $r(\phi(g)) = \phi(r(g))$, and $\phi(u(x)) = u(\phi(x))$.

If $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$ and $\mathcal{H} \rightrightarrows L$ are Lie groupoids and the groupoid morphism $\phi : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{G}$ is smooth, we shall say that ϕ is a *Lie groupoid morphism*. If Γ is a Lie group and

$\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$ is a Lie groupoid, we shall say that Γ *acts* on $\mathcal{G}$ if there exists a smooth map $\alpha : \Gamma \times \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$ such that, for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the induced map $\alpha_\gamma : \mathcal{G} \ni g \to \alpha(\gamma, g) \in \mathcal{G}$ is a Lie groupoid morphism and $\alpha_\gamma \alpha_\delta = \alpha_{\gamma\delta}$.

We now recall the important construction of *fibered pull-back groupoids* [23, 24].

Example 2.8. Let again M and L be locally compact spaces and $f : M \to L$ be a continuous map. Let $d, r : \mathcal{H} \rightrightarrows L$ be a locally compact groupoid (so L is the set of units of $\mathcal{H}$), the *fibered pull-back groupoid* is then

$$f^{\downarrow\downarrow}(\mathcal{H}) := \{ (m, g, m') \in M \times \mathcal{H} \times M, f(m) = r(g), d(g) = f(m') \} .$$

It is a groupoid with units M and with $d(m, g, m') = m'$, $r(m, g, m') = m$, and product $(m, g, m')(m', g', m'') = (m, gg', m'')$. We shall also sometimes write $M \times_f \mathcal{H} \times_f M = f^{\downarrow\downarrow}(\mathcal{H})$ for the fibered pull-back groupoid. We shall use this construction in the case when f is a tame submersion of manifolds with corners and $\mathcal{H}$ is a Lie groupoid. Then $\mathcal{G}$ is a Lie groupoid (the fibered pull-back Lie groupoid). Indeed, to see that d is a tame submersion, if is enough to write that f is locally a product, see Lemma 1.3(ii). It is a subgroupoid of the product $M \times M \times \mathcal{H}$ of the pair groupoid $M \times M$ and $\mathcal{H}$. Also by Proposition 4.3.11 in [33], we have

$$A\big(f^{\downarrow\downarrow}(\mathcal{H})\big) \simeq f^{\downarrow\downarrow}\big(A(\mathcal{H})\big) \tag{7}$$

(see Definition 1.18). Thus the Lie algebroid of the fibered pull-back groupoid $f^{\downarrow\downarrow}(\mathcal{H})$ is the thick pull-back Lie algebroid $f^{\downarrow\downarrow}\big(A(\mathcal{H})\big)$ and hence it contains as a Lie algebroid the space $\ker(df)$ of f-vertical tangent vector fields on M. We note that if a Lie group Γ acts (smoothly by groupoid automorphisms) on $\mathcal{H} \rightrightarrows L$ and if the map $f : M \to L$ is Γ-equivariant, then Γ will act on $f^{\downarrow\downarrow}(\mathcal{H})$.

2.2. **Adiabatic groupoids and the edge-modification.** Our desingularization uses in an essential way *adiabatic groupoids.* In this subsection, we shall thus recall in detail the construction of the adiabatic groupoid, as well as some related constructions [11, 17, 47]. For the purpose of further applications, we stress the smooth action of a Lie group Γ (by Lie groupoid automorphisms) and thus the functoriality of our constructions. We shall use the following standard notation.

Notations 2.9. *Let $d, r : \mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$ be a groupoid and $A, B \subset M$, then we denote $\mathcal{G}_A := d^{-1}(A)$ and $\mathcal{G}_A^B := r^{-1}(B) \cap d^{-1}(A)$. We also write $\mathcal{G}_x := d^{-1}(x)$.*

In particular, $\mathcal{G}_A^A$ is a groupoid with units A, called the *reduction of $\mathcal{G}$ to A*. In general, it will not be a Lie groupoid even if $\mathcal{G}$ is a Lie groupoid. If $A \subset M$ is $\mathcal{G}$-invariant, meaning that $\mathcal{G}_A^A = \mathcal{G}_A = \mathcal{G}^A := r^{-1}(A)$, then $\mathcal{G}_A$ will be a groupoid, called the *restriction* of $\mathcal{G}$ to (the invariant subset) A.

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a Lie groupoid with units M and Lie algebroid $A := A(\mathcal{G}) \to M$. The *adiabatic groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{ad}$ associated to $\mathcal{G}$* will have units $M \times [0, \infty)$. We shall define $\mathcal{G}_{ad}$ in several steps: first we define its Lie algebroid, then we define it as a set, then we recall the unique smooth structure that yields the desired Lie algebroid, and, finally, we show that this construction is functorial and thus preserves group actions.

2.2.1. *The Lie algebroid of the adiabatic groupoid.* . We first define a Lie algebroid $A_{ad} \to M \times [0, \infty)$ that will turn out to be isomorphic to $A(\mathcal{G}_{ad})$, as in [47]. As vector bundles, we have

$$A_{ad} := A \times [0, \infty) \to M \times [0, \infty) .$$

That is, A_{ad} is the vector bundle bundle pull-back of $A \to M$ to $M \times [0,\infty)$ via the canonical projection $\pi : M \times [0,\infty) \to M$. To define the Lie algebra structure on the space of sections of A_{ad}, let $X(t)$ and $Y(t)$ be sections of A_{ad}, regarded as smooth functions $[0,\infty) \to \Gamma(M; A(\mathcal{G}))$. Then

$$[X,Y](t) := t[X(t),Y(t)]\,. \tag{8}$$

Let us denote by $\pi^*(A)$ the Lie algebroid defined by the vector bundle pull-back, as in Lemma 1.14. Thus we see that $A_{ad} \simeq \pi^*(A)$ as *vector bundles* but **not** as Lie algebroids. Nevertheless, we do have a natural Lie algebroid morphism (over $M \times [0,\infty)$, not injective!)

$$A_{ad} \simeq t\pi^*(A) \to \pi^*(A)\,, \tag{9}$$

where the second Lie algebroid is defined by Lemma 1.11 and the isomorphism is by Equation (8). The induced map identifies $\Gamma(A_{ad})$ with $t\Gamma(\pi^*(A))$, however.

2.2.2. *The underlying groupoid of $\mathcal{G}_{ad}$.* We shall define the adiabatic groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{ad}$ as the union of two Lie groupoids, denoted $\mathcal{G}_1$ and $\mathcal{G}_2$, which we define first. This will also define the groupoid structure on $\mathcal{G}_{ad}$ (but not the smooth structure yet!). We let $\mathcal{G}_1 := A(\mathcal{G}) \times \{0\}$ with the Lie groupoid structure of a bundle of commutative Lie groups $A(\mathcal{G}) \times \{0\} \to M$. (That is $\mathcal{G}_1$ is simply a vector bundle, regarded as a Lie groupoid.) The groupoid $\mathcal{G}_2$ is given by $\mathcal{G}_2 := \mathcal{G} \times (0,\infty)$, with the product Lie groupoid structure, where $(0,\infty)$ is regarded as a space (as in Example 2.2). As a set, we then define the adiabatic groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{ad}$ associated to $\mathcal{G}$ as the *disjoint* union

$$\mathcal{G}_{ad} := \mathcal{G}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{G}_2 := \big(A(\mathcal{G}) \times \{0\}\big) \sqcup \big(\mathcal{G} \times (0,\infty)\big). \tag{10}$$

We endow $\mathcal{G}_{ad}$ with the natural groupoid structure $d, r : \mathcal{G}_{ad} \to M \times [0,\infty)$, where d and r restrict to each of $\mathcal{G}_1$ and $\mathcal{G}_2$ to the corresponding domain and range maps, respectively.

2.2.3. *The Lie groupoid structure on $\mathcal{G}_{ad}$.* We endow $\mathcal{G}_{ad} := \mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{G}_2$ with the unique smooth structure that makes it a Lie groupoid with Lie algebroid A_{ad}, as in [46]. We proceed as in [11, 17] using a (real version of) the "deformation to the normal cone" considered in those papers. Let us make that construction explicit in our case. We thus choose connections ∇ on all the manifolds $\mathcal{G}_x := d^{-1}(x)$, $x \in M$. As in [47], we can choose these connections such that the resulting family of connections is invariant with respect to right multiplication by elements in $\mathcal{G}$. This gives rise to a smooth map $\exp_\nabla : A = A(\mathcal{G}) \to \mathcal{G}$ that maps the zero section of $A(\mathcal{G})$ to the set of units of $\mathcal{G}$. There exists a neighborhood U of the zero section of $A(\mathcal{G})$ on which $\exp_\nabla$ is a diffeomorphism onto its image. Let us define then $W = W_U \subset A \times [0,\infty) = A_{ad}$ to be the set of pairs $(X,t) \in A \times [0,\infty)$ such that $tX \in U$ and define $\Phi : W \to \mathcal{G}_{ad}$ by the formula

$$\Phi(X,t) := \begin{cases} (\exp_\nabla(tX), t) \in \mathcal{G} \times (0,\infty) & \text{if } t > 0 \\ (X,0) \in A(\mathcal{G}) \times \{0\} & \text{if } t = 0\,. \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

We define the smooth structure on $\mathcal{G}_{ad}$ such that both the image of Φ and the set $\mathcal{G} \times (0,\infty)$ are open subsets of $\mathcal{G}_{ad}$ with the induced smooth structure coinciding with the original one. The transition functions are smooth. The fact that the resulting smooth structure makes $\mathcal{G}_{ad}$ a Lie groupoid follows from the differentiability with respect to parameters (including initial data) of solutions of ordinary differential equations. This smooth structure does not depend on the choice of the connection

∇, since the choice of a different connection would just amount to the conjugation with a local diffeomorphism ψ of $\mathcal{G}$ in a neighborhood of the units. By construction, the space of sections of $A(\mathcal{G}_{ad})$ identifies with $t\Gamma(\pi^*(A))$, and hence $A(\mathcal{G}_{ad}) \simeq A_{ad}$, as desired. (Note that by [46, 47], it is known that there exists a unique Lie groupoid structure on $\mathcal{G}_{ad}$ such that the associated Lie algebroid is A_{ad}.)

2.2.4. *Actions of compact Lie groups.* The following lemma states that the adiabatic construction is compatible with Lie group actions. We state this as a lemma.

Lemma 2.10. *Let Γ be a Lie group and assume that Γ acts on $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$, then Γ acts on $\mathcal{G}_{ad}$ as well.*

Proof. We can see this as follows. We use the notation in 2.2.2. We obtain immediately an action of Γ on both $\mathcal{G}_1$ and $\mathcal{G}_2$. To see that this extends to an action on the adiabatic groupoid, we need to check the compatibility with the coordinate map Φ. Let V be a compact neighborhood of the identity in Γ. We can choose an open neighborhood $U_1 \subset U$ of the set of units of $\mathcal{G}$ such that the action of Γ on M maps $V \times U_1$ to U. Then $V \times W_{U_1}$ maps to W_U and the resulting map is smooth by the invariance of the smooth structure on $\mathcal{G}_{ad}$ with respect to the choice of connection. □

2.2.5. *Extensions of the adiabatic groupoid construction.* We shall need two slight examples of generalizations of the adiabatic groupoid construction. We shall use the reduction of a groupoid G to a subset A, which, we recall, is denoted $G_A^A := r^{-1}(A) \cap d^{-1}(A)$.

Example 2.11. Let again M and L be manifolds with corners and $f : M \to L$ be a tame submersion of manifolds with corners. Let $\mathcal{H} \rightrightarrows L$ be a Lie groupoid and adiabatic groupoid $\mathcal{H}_{ad} \rightrightarrows L \times [0, \infty)$. Let $\mathcal{G} := f^{\downarrow\downarrow}(\mathcal{H}) = M \times_f \mathcal{H} \times_f M$ be the fibered pull-back groupoid. Then the *adiabatic groupoid of* $\mathcal{G}$ (with respect to f) has units $M \times [0, \infty)$ and is defined by

$$\mathcal{G}_{ad,f} \;:=\; f_1^{\downarrow\downarrow}(\mathcal{H}_{ad})\,,$$

where $f_1 := (f, id) : M \times [0, \infty) \to L \times [0, \infty)$. Unlike $\mathcal{G}_{ad}$, the groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{ad,f}$ will not be a bundle of Lie groups at time 0, but will be the fibered pull-back of the Lie groupoid $A(\mathcal{H}) \to L$, regarded as a bundle of Lie groups, by the map $f : M \to L$. More precisely, let $X := M \times \{0\}$, which is an invariant subset of the set of units of $M \times [0, \infty)$. Then the restriction of $\mathcal{G}_{ad,f}$ to X satisfies

$$(\mathcal{G}_{ad,f})_X \;\simeq\; M \times_f A(\mathcal{H}) \times_f M \;=:\; f^{\downarrow\downarrow}\big(A(\mathcal{H})\big)\,. \tag{12}$$

Remark 2.12. If $\mathcal{H} = L \times L$, then $\mathcal{G} = M \times M$ (so both $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ are pair groupoids in this particular case) and $\mathcal{G}_{ad,f}$ at time 0 will be the fibered pull-back to M of the Lie groupoid $A(\mathcal{H}) = TL \to L$. In this particular case, the associated differential operators on $\mathcal{G}_{ad,f}$ model adiabatic limits, hence the name of these groupoids (this explains the choice of the name "adiabatic groupoid" in [47]).

For the next example, we shall need an action of $\mathbb{R}_+^*$ on the last example.

Remark 2.13. We use the same setting and notation as in Example 2.11 above and let $\mathbb{R}_+^* = (0, \infty)$ act by dilations on the time variable $[0, \infty)$. This action induces a family of automorphisms of $\mathcal{H}_{ad}$, as in [17] if we let $s \in \mathbb{R}_+^* = (0, \infty)$ act by

$s \cdot (g,t) = (g, s^{-1}t)$ on $(g,t) \in \mathcal{H} \times (0,\infty) \subset \mathcal{H}_{ad}$. Referring to Equation (11) that defines a parametrization of a neighborhood of $A(\mathcal{H}) \times \{0\} \subset \mathcal{H}_{ad}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} s \cdot \Phi(X,t) \,:=\, s \cdot (\exp_\nabla(tX), t) \,&:=\, (\exp_\nabla(tX), s^{-1}t) \\ &=\, (\exp_\nabla(s^{-1}tsX), s^{-1}t) \,=:\, \Phi(sX, s^{-1}t)\,. \end{aligned}$$

By setting $t = 0$ in this equation, we obtain by continuity that the action of s on $(X,0)$ is $s(X,0) = (sX,0)$.

We shall use this remark to obtain a (slight extension of a) construction in [17]. Recall that if a Lie group Γ acts on a Lie groupoid $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$, then the *semi-direct product* [33, 39] $\mathcal{G} \rtimes \Gamma$ is defined by $\mathcal{G} \rtimes \Gamma = \mathcal{G} \times \Gamma$, as manifolds, and $\mathcal{G} \rtimes \Gamma$ has units M and product $(g_1, \gamma_1)(g_2, \gamma_2) := (g_1\gamma_1(g_2), \gamma_1\gamma_2)$.

Example 2.14. We use the notation in Example 2.11 and in Remark 2.13. In particular, we denote $f_1 := (f, id) : M \times [0,\infty) \to L \times [0,\infty)$. The action of $\mathbb{R}^*_+$ commutes with f_1 and induces an action on $\mathcal{G}_{ad,f} := f_1^{\downarrow\downarrow}(\mathcal{H}_{ad})$ and we let

$$\mathcal{E}(M,f,\mathcal{H}) \,:=\, \mathcal{G}_{ad,f} \rtimes \mathbb{R}^*_+ \,:=\, f_1^{\downarrow\downarrow}(\mathcal{H}_{ad}) \rtimes \mathbb{R}^*_+ \,=\, f_1^{\downarrow\downarrow}(\mathcal{H}_{ad} \rtimes \mathbb{R}^*_+)\,,$$

be the associated semi-direct product groupoid. The space of units of $\mathcal{E}(M,f,\mathcal{H})$ is $M \times [0,\infty)$. The groupoid $\mathcal{H}_{ad} \rtimes \mathbb{R}^*_+$ was introduced and studied in [17].

Let us spell out in detail the structure of the groupoid $\mathcal{E}(M,f,\mathcal{H})$.

Remark 2.15. To describe $\mathcal{E}(M,f,\mathcal{H})$ as a set, we shall describe its reductions to $M \times \{0\}$ and to $M \times (0,\infty)$ (that is, we shall describe its reductions at time $t = 0$ and at time $t > 0$). Let us endow $A(\mathcal{H})$ with the Lie groupoid structure of a (commutative) bundle of Lie groups with units $L \times \{0\}$. Then, at time $t = 0$, $\mathcal{E}(M,f,\mathcal{H})$ is the semi-direct product $f^{\downarrow\downarrow}(A(\mathcal{H})) \rtimes \mathbb{R}^*_+ \simeq f^{\downarrow\downarrow}(A(\mathcal{H}) \rtimes \mathbb{R}^*_+)$, with $\mathbb{R}^*_+$ acting by dilations on the fibers of $A(\mathcal{H})$. That is

$$\mathcal{E}(M,f,\mathcal{H})_{\{0\}\times M} \,\simeq\, (M \times_f A(\mathcal{H}) \times_f M) \rtimes \mathbb{R}^*_+ \,=\, M \times_f (A(\mathcal{H}) \rtimes \mathbb{R}^*_+) \times_f M \tag{13}$$

Thus $\mathcal{E}(M,f,\mathcal{H})_{M\times\{0\}}$ is the fibered pull-back to $M \times \{0\}$ via f of a bundle of solvable Lie groups on L. On the other hand, the complement, that is, the reduction of $\mathcal{E}(M,f,\mathcal{H})$ to $M \times (0,\infty)$ is isomorphic to the product groupoid $f^{\downarrow\downarrow}(\mathcal{H}) \times (0,\infty)^2$, where the first factor in the product is the fibered pull-back of $\mathcal{H}$ to M and the second factor is the pair groupoid of $(0,\infty)$.

For the pair groupoid $\mathcal{G} = M \times M$ with M smooth, compact, the example of the adiabatic groupoid is due to Connes [11] and was studied in connection with the index theorem for smooth, compact manifolds. See [11, 17, 47] for more details.

2.2.6. *The anisotropic construction.* We shall need also an *anisotropic variant* of the groupoid $\mathcal{E}(M,f,\mathcal{H})$, which is easier to define, but currently less used in applications. We continue to use the notation in Example 2.11 and in Remark 2.13. In particular, $f_1 := (f, id) : M \times [0,\infty) \to L \times [0,\infty)$. We now modify the definition of $\mathcal{E}(M,f,\mathcal{H}) := f_1^{\downarrow\downarrow}(\mathcal{H}_{ad} \rtimes \mathbb{R}^*_+)$ by replacing $\mathcal{H}_{ad}$ with the product groupoid $\mathcal{H} \times [0,\infty)$. Thus we define

$$\mathcal{E}_{ni}(M,f,\mathcal{H}) \,:=\, f_1^{\downarrow\downarrow}\big((\mathcal{H} \times [0,\infty)) \rtimes \mathbb{R}^*_+\big)\,. \tag{14}$$

Let us consider the action of $\mathbb{R}^*_+ = (0,\infty)$ on $[0,\infty)$ and denote by $\mathcal{T} := [0,\infty) \rtimes \mathbb{R}^2_+$ the corresponding groupoid semi-direct product. Then Equation (14) becomes

$$\mathcal{E}_{ni}(M,f,\mathcal{H}) \,:=\, f^{\downarrow\downarrow}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{T}\,. \tag{15}$$

We have the following analog of Remark 2.15.

Remark 2.16. The natural morphism $\mathcal{H}_{ad} \to \mathcal{H} \times [0,\infty)$ that integrates the Lie algebroid morphism of Equation (9), gives rise to a morphism

$$\Psi : \mathcal{E}(M,f,\mathcal{H})_{M\times(0,\infty)} \to \mathcal{E}_{ni}(M,f,\mathcal{H})_{M\times(0,\infty)} \,. \tag{16}$$

We can describe this morphism and, at the same time, describe $\mathcal{E}_{ni}(M,f,\mathcal{H})$ as a set, by describing its restrictions to $M = M\times\{0\}$ and to $M\times(0,\infty)$, using, at time $t=0$, the $\mathbb{R}^*_+$-equivariant groupoid morphism $A(\mathcal{H}) \ni \xi \to u(d(\xi)) \in \mathcal{H}$. Thus in the two equations below, the composition is the restriction of Ψ to M and, respectively, to $M\times(0,\infty)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(M,f,\mathcal{H})_M &\simeq f^{\downarrow\downarrow}(A(\mathcal{H}))\rtimes\mathbb{R}^*_+ \to f^{\downarrow\downarrow}(\mathcal{H})\times\mathbb{R}^*_+ \simeq \mathcal{E}_{ni}(M,f,\mathcal{H})_M \\ \mathcal{E}(M,f,\mathcal{H})_{M\times(0,\infty)} &\simeq f^{\downarrow\downarrow}(\mathcal{H})\times(0,\infty)^2 \simeq \mathcal{E}_{ni}(M,f,\mathcal{H})_{M\times(0,\infty)} \,. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

The construction of the edge modifications is equivariant.

Lemma 2.17. *Let us assume with the same notation that a Lie group Γ acts on $\mathcal{H} \rightrightarrows L$ and that the tame submersion $f : M \to L$ is Γ invariant. Then Γ acts on $\mathcal{E}(M,f,\mathcal{H})$ and on $\mathcal{E}_{ni}(M,f,\mathcal{H})$ in a way that is compatible with the structure provided by Remarks 2.15 and 2.16. In particular, the natural morphism $\mathcal{E}(M,f,\mathcal{H}) \to \mathcal{E}_{ni}(M,f,\mathcal{H})$ is Γ-equivariant.*

Proof. The action of Γ on $\mathcal{E}_{ni}(M,f,\mathcal{H})$ is simply the product action comming from the isomorphism (15), with Γ acting trivially on the groupoid $\mathcal{T}$. We thus need only consider the action of Γ on $\mathcal{E}(M,f,\mathcal{H})$. The group Γ acts on $\mathcal{H}_{ad}$ by Lemma 2.10. This action commutes with the action of $\mathbb{R}^*_+$ by naturality. Hence we obtain an action of Γ on $\mathcal{H}_{ad}\rtimes\mathbb{R}^*_+$. The result follows since $f : M \to L$ is Γ invariant. □

2.3. **Glueing Lie groupoids.** We shall need to "glue" two Lie groupoids along an open subset of the set of units above which they are isomorphic. This can be done under certain conditions, and we review now this construction following Theorem 3.4 in [21].

Let $\mathcal{G}_i \rightrightarrows M_i$, $i = 1,2$, be two Lie groupoids. (Thus the sets of units M_i are Hausdorff manifolds, possibly with corners.) Let us assume that we are given open subsets $U_i \subset M_i$ such that the reductions $(\mathcal{G}_i)^{U_i}_{U_i}$, $i = 1,2$, are isomorphic via an isomorphism $\phi : (\mathcal{G}_1)^{U_1}_{U_1} \to (\mathcal{G}_2)^{U_2}_{U_2}$ that covers a diffemorphism $U_1 \to U_2$, also denoted by ϕ. We define $M := M_1 \cup_\phi M_2$ as follows. Let us consider on the disjoint union $M_1 \sqcup M_2$ the equivalence relation $\sim_\phi$ generated by $x \sim_\phi \phi(x)$ if $x \in U_1$. Then $M_1 \cup_\phi M_2 := M_1 \sqcup M_2/\sim_\phi$. We define similarly

$$\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{G}_1 \cup_\phi \mathcal{G}_2 := (\mathcal{G}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{G}_2)/\sim \,. \tag{18}$$

We shall denote by $U_1^c := M_1 \smallsetminus U_1$ the complement of U_1 in M_1 and by $M_1 \cap \mathcal{G}_1 U_1^c \mathcal{G}_1$ the $\mathcal{G}_1$-orbit of U_1^c in M_1. We shall use a similar notation for $\mathcal{G}_2$.

Proposition 2.18. *Let us assume that the set $\phi(U_1 \cap \mathcal{G}_1 U_1^c \mathcal{G}_1)$ does not intersect $U_2 \cap \mathcal{G}_2 U_2^c \mathcal{G}_2$ and that $M := M_1 \cup_\phi M_2$ is a Hausdorff manifold (possibly with corners). Then the set $\mathcal{H}$ of Equation* (18) *has a natural Lie groupoid structure with units M. We have $\mathcal{G}_i \simeq (\mathcal{H})^{M_i}_{M_i}$.*

Proof. This is basically a consequence of the definitions. We define the domain map $d : \mathcal{H} \to M$ by restriction to each of the groupoids $\mathcal{G}_i$, which is possible since $h \sim h'$ implies $d(h) \sim d(h')$. We proceed similarly to define the range map r.

Let us now identify $\mathcal{G}_i$ with subsets of $\mathcal{H}$. Hence now $U_1 = U_2$ and ϕ is the identity. To define the multiplication for $g_j \in \mathcal{H}$, $j = 1, 2$, just note that the hypothesis ensures that, if $g_j \in \mathcal{G}_j$, for $j = 1, 2$, with $d(g_1) = r(g_2) \in M$, then, first of all, $x := d(g_1) = r(g_2) \in M_1 \cap M_2 = U_1 = U_2$. Next, either $r(g_1) \in U_1$ or $d(g_2) \in U_2 = \phi(U_1) = U_1$, because otherwise

$$d(g_1) \,=\, r(g_2) \in U_1 \,\cap\, \mathcal{G}_1 U_1^c \mathcal{G}_1 \,\cap\, \mathcal{G}_2 U_2^c \mathcal{G}_2\,,$$

which is in direct contradiction with the hypothesis. This means that, in fact, $g_j \in \mathcal{G}_i$, for the same i, and we can define the multiplication using the multiplication in $\mathcal{G}_i$. □

One of the differences between our result, Proposition 2.18, and Theorem 3.4 in [21] is that we are not starting with a Lie algebroid that needs to be integrated, thus we do not have orbits that we could use. See however [21] for a discussion of the gluing procedure in the framework of manifolds (and many other useful results).

3. Desingularization groupoids

We now introduce our desingularization construction of a Lie groupoid along a tame submanifold.

3.1. **A structure theorem near tame submanifolds.** We have the following basic definition.

Definition 3.1. Let $A \to M$ be a Lie algebroid over a manifold M. Let $L \subset M$ be a submanifold of M such that there exists a tubular neighborhood U of L in M with projection map $\pi : U \to L$. We shall say that L is an *A-tame submanifold* of M if there exists also a Lie algebroid $B \to L$ such that the restriction of A to U is isomorphic to the thick pull-back Lie algebroid of B to U via π, that is,

$$A|_U \,\simeq\, \pi^{\downarrow\downarrow}(B)\,, \tag{19}$$

via an isomorphism that is the identity on U. Both M and L are allowed to have corners.

Remark 3.2. We note that, by Proposition 1.20, we have that the Lie algebroid B of Definition 3.1 satisfies $B \simeq (A/\ker(\pi_*))|_L$, and hence B is determined up to an isomorphism by A.

Recall that if $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$ is a groupoid and $A \subset M$, then $\mathcal{G}_A^A := r^{-1}(A) \cap d^{-1}(A)$ is the *reduction of $\mathcal{G}$ to A*. We shall use repeatedly the fact that, if $B \subset A$, then $(\mathcal{G}_A^A)_B^B = \mathcal{G}_B^B$. Also, recall that a topological space is called *simply-connected* if it is path connected and its first homotopy group $\pi_1(X)$ is trivial. A groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ is called *d-simply connected* if the fibers $\mathcal{G}_x := d^{-1}(x)$ of the domain map are simply-connected. Here is one of our main technical results that provides a canonical form for a Lie groupoid in the neighborhood of a tame submanifold. All the isomorphisms of Lie groupoids are smooth morphisms.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid and let $L \subset M$ be an $A(\mathcal{G})$-tame submanifold of M. Let $U \subset M$ be a tubular neighborhood of L as in Definition 3.1, with $\pi : U \to L \subset U$ the associated structural projection. Then the reduction groupoids $\mathcal{G}_L^L$ and $\mathcal{G}_U^U$ are Lie groupoids. Assume, furthermore, that the fibers of $\pi : U \to L$ are simply-connected. Then there exists an isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{G}_U^U \,\simeq\, \pi^{\downarrow\downarrow}(\mathcal{G}_L^L) \,:=\, U \times_\pi \mathcal{G}_L^L \times_\pi U$$

of Lie groupoids that is the identity on the set of units U.

Proof. First of all, we have that $\mathcal{G}_L^L$ is a Lie groupoid by [33, Proposition 1.5.16] since the joint map $(d, r) : \mathcal{G} \to M \times M$ is transverse to $L \times L$. This transversality property follows from the A-tameness of L, which in turn implies that for every $g \in U$ we have that

$$(d_*, r_*)(T_g\mathcal{G}) \supset T_{d(g)}U \times T_{vert,r(g)}\pi.$$

Then, the fibered pair groupoid $\mathcal{H} := U \times_\pi U = \{(u_1, u_2), \pi(u_1) = \pi(u_2)\}$ is a Lie groupoid with Lie algebroid $T_{vert}\pi = \ker(d\pi)$. The assumption that the fibers of $\pi : U \to L$ are simply-connected shows that $\mathcal{H}$ is d-simply connected. Since $T_{vert}\pi$ is contained in $A(\mathcal{G})|_U$ as a Lie subalgebroid, by the definition of a $A(\mathcal{G})$-tame submanifold. Proposition 3.4 of [39] (see also [33, 46]) gives there exists a morphism of Lie groupoids

$$\Phi : \mathcal{H} := U \times_\pi U \to \mathcal{G}_U^U \tag{20}$$

that preserves the units, in the sense that $d(\Phi(\gamma)) = d(\gamma)$ and $r(\Phi(\gamma)) = r(\gamma)$. This gives that Φ is injective.

Let $(u_1, u_2) \in U \times U$ with $\pi(u_1) = \pi(u_2)$. Then $(u_1, u_2) \in \mathcal{H} := U \times_\pi U$. In particular, $(\pi(u), u) \in L \times_\pi U \in \mathcal{H}$ for any $u \in U$. Let us denote by $g(u) := \Phi(\pi(u), u) \in \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{G}$, which defines a smooth map $g : U \to \mathcal{G}$, since Φ is smooth. Then, for any $\gamma \in r^{-1}(U) \cap d^{-1}(U) =: \mathcal{G}_U^U$ and any $u \in U$, we have

$$d(g(u)) = d(\pi(u), u) = u\,, \quad r(g(u)) = r(\pi(u), u) = \pi(u) \in L\,,$$

$$h_\gamma := g(r(\gamma))\gamma g(d(\gamma))^{-1} \in \mathcal{G}_L^L\,, \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(\gamma) := (r(\gamma), h_\gamma, d(\gamma)) \in U \times_\pi \mathcal{G}_L^L \times_\pi U\,.$$

The map Ψ is the desired isomorphism. □

3.2. **The edge modification.** We shall now use the structure theorem, Theorem 3.3 to provide a desingularization of a Lie groupoid in the neighborhood of a tame submanifold of its set of units. We need, however, to first discuss the (real) blow-up of a tame submanifold. We use the standard approach, see for example [1, 27].

Notations 3.4. In what follows, L will be a *tame* submanifold a manifold with corners (to be specified each time), that is, a submanifold with the property that it has a tubular neighborhood U with structural projection $\pi : U \to L$. We let $S := \partial U$. We shall denote by NL the normal bundle of L in M. We assume that U identifies with the set of unit vectors in NL using some connection. In particular, $S \simeq SNL$, the set of unit vectors in NL, and $U \smallsetminus L \simeq S \times (0, 1)$.

We now recall the definition of the real blow-up of a manifold with respect to a tame submanifold. We use the notation introduced in 3.4. Let us assume that L is a tame submanifold of a manifold with corners M. Informally, the *real blow-up* or, simply, the *blow-up* of M along L is the manifold with corners obtained by removing L from M and gluing back $S \simeq SNL$ in a compatible way.

Definition 3.5. Let L be a tame submanifold of a manifold with corners M. We use the notation introduced in 3.4 and we let ϕ be the diffeomorphism $U \smallsetminus L \simeq S \times (0, 1)$. Then the *real blow-up* of M along L, denoted $[M : L]$ is defined by glueing $M \smallsetminus L$ and $S \times [0, 1)$ using ϕ, that is

$$[M : L] := (M \smallsetminus L) \cup_\phi (S \times [0, 1)) = \big((M \smallsetminus L) \sqcup S \times [0, 1)\big)/\sim\,, \tag{21}$$

where $\sim$ is the equivalence relation defined by $\phi(x) \sim x$, as in the Subsection 2.3.

Remark 3.6. By construction, there exists an associated natural smooth map

$$\kappa : [M : L] \to M ,$$

the *blow-down map*, which is uniquely determined by the condition that it be continuous and it be the identity on $M \setminus L$. For example,

$$[\mathbb{R}^{n+k} : \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^k] \simeq S^{n-1} \times [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^k , \tag{22}$$

with $r \in [0, \infty)$ representing the distance to the submanifold $L = \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^k$ and S^p denoting the sphere of dimension p (the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{p+1}$). Locally, all blow-ups that we consider are of this form. In this example, Equation (21), the blow-down map is simply $\kappa(x', r, x'') = (rx', x'') \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^k$.

The definition of the blow-up in this paper is the one common in Analysis [1, 6, 20, 27, 38], however, it is *different* from the one in [5, 21, 49], who replace L with $PN = SNL/\mathbb{Z}_2$, the projectivization of SNL, instead of $S := SNL$. We are ready now to introduce the desingularization of a Lie groupoid with respect to a tame submanifold in the particular case of a suitable pull-back.

Definition 3.7. Let $\pi : E \to L$ be an orthogonal vector bundle (so $U \subset E$ is the set of vector of length < 1 and $S := \partial U \subset E$). The various restrictions of π will also be denoted by π. Let $\mathcal{H} \rightrightarrows L$ be a Lie groupoid and $\mathcal{G} := \pi^{\downarrow\downarrow}(\mathcal{H}) = U \times_\pi \mathcal{H} \times_\pi U$. Then *the edge modification* of $\mathcal{G}$ is the fibered pull-back groupoid

$$\mathcal{E}(S, \pi, \mathcal{H}) := (S \times_\pi \mathcal{H}_{ad} \times_\pi S) \rtimes \mathbb{R}^*_+ \simeq S \times_\pi (\mathcal{H}_{ad} \rtimes \mathbb{R}^*_+) \times_\pi S .$$

Remark 3.8. The edge modification is thus a particular case for $f = \pi : M = S \to L$ of the example 2.14. It is a Lie groupoid with units $S \times [0, \infty)$. We extend in an obvious way the definition of the edge modification to groupoids isomorphic to groupoids of the form $\mathcal{G} = \pi^{\downarrow\downarrow}(\mathcal{H}) = U \times_\pi \mathcal{H} \times_\pi U$.

It will be convenient to fix the following further notation.

Notations 3.9. In what follows, $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$ will denote a Lie groupoid and $L \subset M$ will be an $A(\mathcal{G})$-tame submanifold. The sets U and $S := \partial U$ have the same meaning as in 3.4. In particular, $\pi : U \to L$ is a tubular neighborhood of L, chosen as in Definition 3.1. Using Theorem 3.3, we obtain that the reduction $\mathcal{G}^U_U$ is of the form $\pi^{\downarrow\downarrow}(\mathcal{H}) := U \times_\pi \mathcal{H} \times_\pi U$, and hence its edge-modification $\mathcal{E}(S, \pi, \mathcal{H})$ is defined. Let $M_1 = S \times [0, 1)$, which is an open subset of the set $S \times [0, \infty)$ of units of $\mathcal{E}(S, \pi, \mathcal{H})$. We shall denote by $\mathcal{G}_1$ the reduction of $\mathcal{E}(S, \pi, \mathcal{H})$ to M_1 and by $U_1 := U \setminus L = S \times (0, 1) \subset M_1$. Similarly, $\mathcal{G}_2$ will denote the reduction of the groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ to $M \setminus L$.

Remark 3.10. Using the notation and assumptions of Definition 3.7 and the notation introduced in 3.4 and 3.9, we have that the reduction of $\mathcal{G}_1$ to U_1 (which by the definition of $\mathcal{G}_1$ the reduction of $\mathcal{E}(S, \pi, \mathcal{H})$ to $U_1 := U \setminus L = S \times (0, 1) \subset M_1$) is isomorphic to

$$(\mathcal{G}_1)^{U_1}_{U_1} \simeq (\mathcal{E}(S, \pi, \mathcal{H}))^{U_1}_{U_1} \simeq (S \times_\pi \mathcal{H} \times_\pi S) \times (0, 1)^2 \simeq U_1 \times_\pi \mathcal{H} \times_\pi U_1 , \tag{23}$$

where $(0, 1)^2$ is the pair groupoid. Since the reduction of $\mathcal{G}$ to U is isomorphic to $U \times_\pi \mathcal{H} \times_\pi U$, it follows that the reduction of $\mathcal{G}$ to U_1 is isomorphic to $U_1 \times_\pi \mathcal{H} \times_\pi U_1$. Hence the reduction of $\mathcal{G}_2$ to U_1 is also isomorphic to $U_1 \times_\pi \mathcal{H} \times_\pi U_1$. We thus obtain an isomorphism of Lie groupoids

$$\phi : (\mathcal{G}_1)^{U_1}_{U_1} \to (\mathcal{G}_2)^{U_1}_{U_1} \simeq U_1 \times_\pi \mathcal{H} \times_\pi U_1 . \tag{24}$$

We are thus in position to glue the groupoids $\mathcal{G}_1$ and $\mathcal{G}_2$ along their isomorphic reductions to U_1, using Proposition 2.18 (for $U_2 = U_1$). We can now define the blow-up of a groupoid with respect to a tame submanifold.

Definition 3.11. Let $L \subset M$ be an $A(\mathcal{G})$-tame manifold. Using the notation just defined in Remark 3.10, the result of glueing the groupoids $\mathcal{G}_1$ and $\mathcal{G}_2$ along their isomorphic reductions to $U_1 = S \times (0,1)$ using Proposition 2.18 is denoted $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]$ and is called the *desingularization of* $\mathcal{G}$ *along* L.

Remark 3.12. We note that the hypothesis of Proposition 2.18 are satisfied because U_1^c is an invariant subset of M_1.

Remark 3.13. To summarize the construction of the desingularization, let us denote by ϕ the natural isomorphism of the following two groupoids: $\mathcal{E}(S, \pi, \mathcal{H})_{U_1}^{U_1}$ (reduction to $U_1 \simeq S \times (0,1)$) and $\mathcal{G}_{U_1}^{U_1} = (\mathcal{G}_2)_{U_1}^{U_1}$. Then

$$[[\mathcal{G} : L]] := \mathcal{E}(S, \pi, \mathcal{H})_{U_1}^{U_1} \cup_\phi \mathcal{G}_{M \smallsetminus L}^{M \smallsetminus L} =: \mathcal{G}_1 \cup_\phi \mathcal{G}_2 = (\mathcal{G}_1 \cup_\phi \mathcal{G}_2)/\sim . \tag{25}$$

One should not confuse $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]$ with $[\mathcal{G} : L]$, the blow-up of the manifold $\mathcal{G}$ with respect to the submanifold L. Recall that $\kappa : [M : L] \to M$ denotes the blow-down map, see Remark 3.6. It is uniquely determined by continuity and the requirement that κ be the identity on $M \smallsetminus L$.

The following result is crucial in studying the desingularization $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]$. Recall that we endow $A(\mathcal{H}) \to L$ with the Lie groupoid structure of a bundle of Lie groups, that $S := \kappa^{-1}(L) = [M : L] \smallsetminus (M \smallsetminus L)$ is a closed subset, and that $\pi : S \to L$ is the natural (fiber bundle) projection.

Proposition 3.14. *The space of units of* $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]$ *is* $[M : L]$ *and* $S := \kappa^{-1}(L)$ *is a* $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]$*-invariant subset of* $[M : L]$ *with complement* $[M : L] \smallsetminus S = M \smallsetminus L$*, and*

$$[[\mathcal{G} : L]]_S \simeq \pi^{\downarrow\downarrow}\big(A(\mathcal{H}) \rtimes \mathbb{R}_+^*\big) \quad \text{and} \quad [[\mathcal{G} : L]]_{M \smallsetminus L} = \mathcal{G}_{M \smallsetminus L}^{M \smallsetminus L} .$$

Proof. When we glue groupoids, we also glue their units, which gives that the set of units of $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]$ is indeed $M_1 \cup_\phi M_2 =: [M : L]$. We have that $S \simeq S \times \{0\}$ is a closed, invariant subset of the set $S \times [0,1)$ of units of $\mathcal{G}_1$, the reduction of $\mathcal{E}(S, \pi, \mathcal{H})$ to M_1. Moreover, $(\mathcal{G}_1)_S$ is the complement of the common part of the groupoids $\mathcal{G}_1$ and $\mathcal{G}_2$ that are glued to yield $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]$. Therefore S is a $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]$-invariant subset of $[M : L]$. (See also Remark 3.12.) In particular,

$$[[\mathcal{G} : L]] = (\mathcal{G}_1)_S = \mathcal{E}(S, \pi, \mathcal{H})_S .$$

The rest follows from the construction of $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]$ and the discussion in Example 2.14, Remark 2.15, and, especially, Equation (13). □

Similar structures arise in other situations; see, for instance, [14, 28, 19, 35, 34, 43, 44, 56, 53]. See also the discussion at the end of Example 2.4. Proposition 3.14 is important in Index theory and Spectral theory because it gives rise to exact sequences of algebras [13, 44].

These constructions extend to yield an anisotropic desingularization.

Remark 3.15. Similarly, by considering the groupoid $\mathcal{E}_{ni}(S, \pi, \mathcal{H})$ instead of the groupoid $\mathcal{E}(S, \pi, \mathcal{H})$, we obtain the *anisotropic desingularization* $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]_{ni}$:

$$[[\mathcal{G} : L]]_{ni} := \mathcal{E}_{ni}(S, \pi, \mathcal{H})_{U_1}^{U_1} \cup_\phi \mathcal{G}_{M \smallsetminus L}^{M \smallsetminus L} . \tag{26}$$

The anisotropic desingularization $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]_{ni}$ will have the same set of units as $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]$, since they are obtained by gluing groupoids with the same sets of units. From Equation (16), we also obtain a natural morphism $\Psi : [[\mathcal{G} : L]] \to [[\mathcal{G} : L]]_{ni}$, that is the identity over the common set of units $[M : L]$.

Proposition 3.14 and its proof extend to the anisotropic case.

Proposition 3.16. *The structure of $\mathcal{K} := [[\mathcal{G} : L]]_{ni}$ and of the natural morphism $\Psi : [[\mathcal{G} : L]] \to \mathcal{K} := [[\mathcal{G} : L]]_{ni}$ is as follows:*

$$[[\mathcal{G} : L]]_S \simeq \pi^{\downarrow\downarrow}\big(A(\mathcal{H}) \rtimes \mathbb{R}_+^*\big) \to \pi^{\downarrow\downarrow}(\mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{R}_+^*) \simeq \mathcal{K}_S$$

and $\Psi = id : [[\mathcal{G} : L]]_{M\smallsetminus L} \to \mathcal{K}_{M\smallsetminus L} = \mathcal{G}_{M\smallsetminus L}^{M\smallsetminus L}$.

The local structure of these constructions is discussed in Subsection 4.3. The desingularizations are compatible with Lie group actions.

Proposition 3.17. *Let us assume that a Lie group Γ acts on M such that it leaves invariant the tame submanifold with corners $L \subset M$. Then Γ acts on $[M : L]$ as well. If, moreover, L is $A(\mathcal{G})$-tame for some groupoid $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$ on which Γ acts, then we obtain that Γ acts on $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]$ and $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]_{ni}$ also.*

Proof. The action on $[M : L]$ is obtained by the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 2.10 by considering a compact neighborhood of the identity in Γ. We now show that Γ acts on $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]$. Since $M \smallsetminus L$ is Γ-invariant, Γ will act on $\mathcal{G}_2 := \mathcal{G}_{M\smallsetminus L}^{M\smallsetminus L}$. By Lemma 2.17, Γ acts on $\mathcal{E}(S, \pi, \mathcal{H})$. These actions coincide on the common domain, and hence Γ acts on $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]$. □

3.3. **The Lie algebroid of the desingularization.** We can now describe the Lie algebroid of the desingularization $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]$ of a stratified tame submersion Lie groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ with respect to an $A(\mathcal{G})$-tame submanifold $L \subset M$. Recall the definition of R-Lie-Rinehart algebras 1.12 We have the following extension of [1, Theorem 3.10] that was proved originally for Lie manifolds.

Notations 3.18. *In the following, $A \to M$ will be a Lie algebroid and $L \subset M$ will be an A-tame submanifold of M. Also, we shall denote by $r_L : M \to [0, \infty)$ a function that is > 0 and smooth on $M \smallsetminus L$ and coincides with the distance to L in a small neighborhood of L. We continue to denote by $[M : L]$ the blow-up of M along L.*

We notice that the function r_L lifts to a smooth function on $[M : L]$, which is the main reason for introducing the blow-up $[M : L]$.

Theorem 3.19. *Let $\mathcal{W} := \mathcal{C}^\infty([M : L]) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)} r_L\Gamma(M; A)$, where we use the notation 3.18. Then $\mathcal{W}$ is a finitely generated, projective $\mathcal{C}^\infty([M : L])$-module with the property that the given Lie bracket on $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M \smallsetminus L; A) \subset \mathcal{W}$ extends to $\mathcal{W}$. Hence, there exists a Lie algebroid $[[A : L]] := B \to [M : L]$ such that $\Gamma([M : L]; B) \simeq \mathcal{W}$.*

The isomorphism $\Gamma([M : L]; B) \simeq \mathcal{W}$ is an isomorphism of vector bundles inducing the identity over $[M : L]$ and an isomorphism of Lie algebras, hence it is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{C}^\infty([M : L])$-Lie-Rinehart algebras.

Proof. The proof follows the lines of the proof of Theorem 3.10 in [1], using the A-tameness of L in order to construct the Lie algebra structure on $\Gamma(M; A)$. We include the details for the benefit of the reader, taking also advantage of the results

in Subsection 1.2. In particular, we shall use the local product structure of the thick pull-back of Lie algebroids, Corollary 1.17 and Lemma 1.19.

We have that $\Gamma(M;A)$ is a projective $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$-module, hence $r_L\Gamma(M;A)$ is a projective $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$-module, and hence $\mathcal{W} := \mathcal{C}^\infty([M\,:\,L]) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)} r_L\Gamma(M;A)$ is a finitely generated, projective $\mathcal{C}^\infty([M\,:\,L])$-module. It remains to define the Lie bracket on $\mathcal{W}$. We shall prove fact more than that, namely, we shall obtain in Equation 31 a local structure result for $\mathcal{W}$, which will be formalized in a few corollaries that follow the proof.

We shall use the notation introduced in 3.4. In particular, $\pi : U \to L$, $L \subset U$ is the tubular neighborhood used to define the thick pull-back of $A|_L$ to U. The problem is local, so we may assume that $U = L \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Since A is the thick pull-back of a Lie algebroid $A_1 \to L$ to U, we have by Lemma 1.19 that

$$A|_U \simeq \pi^{\downarrow\downarrow}(A_1) \simeq A_1 \boxtimes T\mathbb{R}^n = \pi^*(A_1) \oplus (L \times T\mathbb{R}^n)\,. \tag{27}$$

We want to lift the sections of A on U to the blow-up $[U : L]$. This is, of course, possible for the sections of $\pi^*(A_1) \to U$, but not for the sections of $L \times T\mathbb{R}^n \to L$. This is why we need to multiply with the factor r_L. We next use a lifting result for vector fields from $\mathbb{R}^n$ to

$$R_0 := [\mathbb{R}^n : 0] = S^{n-1} \times [0,\infty)\,.$$

Let $r(x) := |x|$ denote the distance to the origin in $\mathbb{R}^n$. We recall [1] that a vector field $X \in r\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^n, T\mathbb{R}^n)$ lifts to the blow-up R_0 and the resulting lift is tangent to the boundary of the blow-up (which, we recall, is S^{n-1}). Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}^\infty(R_0) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} r\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^n, T\mathbb{R}^n) &\simeq \mathcal{V}_b(R_0)\\ &\simeq \Gamma(R_0; TS^{n-1} \boxtimes T^b[0,\infty)) \simeq \Gamma(R_0; TS^{n-1}) \oplus r\Gamma(R_0; T[0,\infty))\,,\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

where $\mathcal{V}_b(R_0)$ is as defined in 1.5. We may also assume that $r_L : M = U = L \times \mathbb{R}^n \to [0,\infty)$ is given by $r_L(x,y) = r(y)$, again since the problem is local.

$$M_1 := [M : L] = L \times [\mathbb{R}^n : 0] = L \times S^{n-1} \times [0,\infty)\,.$$

We now identify the spaces of sections of the vector bundles of interest using Equation (27), the isomorphisms below being isomorphisms of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M_1)$-modules

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W} &:= \mathcal{C}^\infty(M_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)} r_L\Gamma(M;A) \simeq \mathcal{C}^\infty(M_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)} r_L\Gamma(M; A_1 \boxtimes T\mathbb{R}^n)\\ &\simeq \mathcal{C}^\infty(M_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)} \Big(r_L\Gamma(M; p_1^*(A_1)) \oplus r_L\Gamma(M; p_2^*(T\mathbb{R}^n))\Big)\\ &\simeq \mathcal{C}^\infty(M_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}^\infty(L)} r_L\Gamma(L; A_1) \,\oplus\, \mathcal{C}^\infty(M_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)} r_L\Gamma(M; p_2^*(T\mathbb{R}^n))\,.\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Next, Equation (28) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}^\infty(M_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)} r_L\Gamma(M; p_2^*(T\mathbb{R}^n)) &\simeq \mathcal{C}^\infty(M_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} r_L\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^n; T\mathbb{R}^n)\\ &\simeq \mathcal{C}^\infty(M_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}^\infty(R_0)} \mathcal{C}^\infty(R_0) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} r_L\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^n; T\mathbb{R}^n)\\ \simeq \mathcal{C}^\infty(M_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}^\infty(R_0)} \mathcal{V}_b(R_0) &\simeq \mathcal{C}^\infty(M_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}^\infty(R_0)} \Big(\Gamma(R_0; TS^{n-1}) \oplus r\Gamma(R_0; T[0,\infty))\Big)\,.\end{aligned}$$

Let p_i, $i = 1,2,3$, be the three projections of $L \times S^{n-1} \times [0,\infty)$ onto its components and let $A_1 \to L$, $A_2 := T[0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$, and $A_3 := TS^{n-1} \to S^{n-1}$, be the corresponding three Lie algebroids (with the last two being simply the tangent

bundles of the corresponding spaces). The above calculations then identify $\mathcal{W}$ with the submodule

$$\begin{aligned}(30)\quad &\mathcal{W} \simeq r_L\Gamma(M_1; p_1^*(A_1)) \oplus r_L\Gamma(M_1; p_2^*(A_2)) \oplus \Gamma(M_1; p_3^*(A_3)) \\ &\subset \Gamma(M_1; p_1^*(A_1)) \oplus \Gamma(M_1; p_2^*(A_2)) \oplus \Gamma(M_1; p_3^*(A_3)) \simeq \Gamma(M_1; A_1 \boxtimes A_2 \boxtimes A_3)\,.\end{aligned}$$

More precisely, let us denote by $p := (\pi, r_L) : M_1 := [M : L] \to L \times [0, \infty)$ the natural fibration, where r_L is the distance to L, as before. Let $r_L(A_1 \boxtimes A_2)$ be as in Lemma 1.11. Then

$$(31)\qquad [[A : L]] \simeq p^{\downarrow\downarrow}\big(r_L(A_1 \boxtimes A_2)\big)\,.$$

This equation is the local structure result we had anticipated. It just remains to show that $\mathcal{W}$ is closed under the Lie bracket defined on the dense, open subset $M \smallsetminus L \subset [M : L]$. Indeed, this follows from Equation (31) and Lemma 1.11. □

Definition 3.20. Let us use the notation introduced before Theorem 3.19 (in 3.18) and in that theorem. Then the Lie algebroid $[[A : L]] = B$ defined in that theorem will be called the *desingularization* Lie algebroid of A with respect to L.

Remark 3.21. In [21], Gualtieri and Li introduced the "lower elementary modification" $[A : B]_{lower}$ of a Lie algebroid $A \to M$ with respect to a Lie subalgebroid $B \to L$, with L a submanifold of M and $B \subset A|_L$. It is defined by

$$\Gamma([A : B]_{lower}) := \{X \in \Gamma(A),\, X|_L \in \Gamma(B)\}\,.$$

One can see right away that their modification is different from ours. In fact, if $B \neq A|_L$, one can see that the right hand side of the equation is a projective $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$-module if, and only if, L is of codimension one in M. In that case (codimension one) one obtains a vector bundle over the same base M, and not over the blow-up manifold $[M : L]$.

We have to following consequence of the proof of Theorem 3.19.

Corollary 3.22. *Let $\pi : M \to L$ be a vector bundle, $A_1 \to L$ be a Lie algebroid, and let $A = \pi^{\downarrow\downarrow}(A_1)$. Let $A_2 := T[0, \infty)$, let $r_L : [M : L] \to [0, \infty)$ be as in 3.18, and let $p := (\pi, r_L) : [M : L] \to L \times [0, \infty)$ be the natural fibration. Let $r_L(A_1 \boxtimes A_2)$ be as in Lemma 1.11. Then*

$$[[A : L]] \simeq p^{\downarrow\downarrow}\big(r_L(A_1 \boxtimes A_2)\big)\,.$$

Proof. Locally, this reduces to Equation (30) (but see also Equation (31)). □

A more general form of Corollary 3.22 is the following corollary, which is a direct consequence of the proof of Theorem 3.19 (see Equation (30)).

Corollary 3.23. *Using the notation of Theorem 3.19 and of its proof, we have that $\mathcal{W}$ be the set of sections ξ of A over $M \smallsetminus L$ such that, in the neighborhood of every point of $M_1 := [M : L]$, ξ is the restriction of a section of*

$$(32)\qquad r_L\Gamma(M_1; p_1^*(A_1)) \oplus r_L\Gamma(M_1; p_2^*(A_2)) \oplus \Gamma(M_1; p_3^*(A_3))\,.$$

Here is another of our main results. Recall the definition of the desingularization $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]$ of a Lie groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ along an $A(\mathcal{G})$-tame submanifold $L \subset M$, Definition 3.11.

Theorem 3.24. *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a Lie groupoid with units M and $L \subset M$ be an $A(\mathcal{G})$-tame submanifold $L \subset M$. Then the Lie algebroid of $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]$ is canonically isomorphic to $[[A(\mathcal{G}) : L]]$.*

Proof. Recall the notation introduced in 3.9. In particular, $\mathcal{G}_2 \subset [[\mathcal{G} : L]]$ denotes the reduction of $\mathcal{G}$ to $U_2 := M \smallsetminus L$. We have that $\mathcal{G}_2 = [[\mathcal{G} : L]]|_{U_2}$ as well, and hence,

$$A([[\mathcal{G} : L]])|_{U_2} \,=\, A(\mathcal{G}_2) \,=\, A(\mathcal{G})|_{U_2} \,=\, [[A(\mathcal{G}) : L]]|_{U_2}\,.$$

(This simply means that, up to an isomorphism, nothing changes outside L.) It suffices then to show that $A([[\mathcal{G}_1 : L]])|_U = [[A(\mathcal{G}_1) : L]]|_U$, because then

$$A([[\mathcal{G} : L]])|_U \,=\, A([[\mathcal{G}_1 : L]])|_U \,=\, [[A(\mathcal{G}_1) : L]]|_U \,=\, [[A(\mathcal{G}) : L]]|_U\,. \tag{33}$$

Recall that U is the distinguished tubular neighborhood of L used to defined the desingularization groupoid $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]$. Also, $\mathcal{G}_1$ is the edge modification of $\mathcal{G}$ and hence $\mathcal{G}_1$ is the reduction of $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]$ to $[U : L]$. See 3.9 but also Proposition 2.18. Let $\pi : U \to L$ denote the projection. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $M = U$, that $\pi : M = U \to L$ is a vector bundle, and hence that $\mathcal{G} = \pi^{\downarrow\downarrow}(\mathcal{H})$. It follows that $A(\mathcal{G}) \simeq \pi^{\downarrow\downarrow}A(\mathcal{H})$.

We use the notation of Corollary 3.22. Let p_i be the two projections of $L \times [0,\infty)$ onto its components. Let $A_2 = T[0,\infty)$. Then we have that

$$A(\mathcal{H}_{ad}) \,\simeq\, rp_1^*(A(\mathcal{H})) \,\subset\, A(\mathcal{H}) \boxtimes A_2\,, \tag{34}$$

by Equation (9) (see also Equation (8)). Next, the Lie algebroid of the semi-direct product $\mathcal{H}_{ad} \rtimes \mathbb{R}_+^*$ is

$$A(\mathcal{H}_{ad} \rtimes \mathbb{R}_+^*) \,\simeq\, rp_1^*(A(\mathcal{H})) \oplus rp_2^*(A_2) \,\simeq\, r(A(\mathcal{H}) \boxtimes A_2)\,, \tag{35}$$

by Equation (34) and since the action of $\mathbb{R}_+^*$ on $[0,\infty)$ has infinitesimal generator $r\partial_r$, $r \in [0,\infty)$. Finally, the pull-back $p^{\downarrow\downarrow}(\mathcal{H}_{ad} \rtimes \mathbb{R}_+^*)$ of $\mathcal{H}_{ad} \rtimes \mathbb{R}_+^*$ to $[M : L]$ via the projection $p := (\pi, r) : [M : L] \to L \times [0,\infty)$ is isomorphic to $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]$. It has Lie algebroid $p^{\downarrow\downarrow}\big(r(A(\mathcal{H}) \boxtimes A_2)\big)$. That is,

$$A([[\mathcal{G} : L]]) \,\simeq\, A(p^{\downarrow\downarrow}(\mathcal{H}_{ad} \rtimes \mathbb{R}_+^*)) \simeq p^{\downarrow\downarrow}A(\mathcal{H}_{ad} \rtimes \mathbb{R}_+^*) \simeq p^{\downarrow\downarrow}\big(r(A(\mathcal{H}) \boxtimes A_2)\big) \simeq [[A(\mathcal{G}) : L]]\,,$$

where the last isomorphism is by Corollary 3.22, since $A(\mathcal{G}) \simeq \pi^{\downarrow\downarrow}A(\mathcal{H})$. □

Remark 3.25. The above theorem, Theorem 3.24, is the *raison d'être* for our definition of a desingularization of a Lie groupoid. Indeed, there are good reasons in Analysis and Poisson geometry for considering generalized polar coordinates in the form of coordinates on the blow-up space $[M : L]$ (think of cylindrical coordinates, which amount to the blow-up of a line in the three dimensional Euclidean space). This is especially convenient when studying the conformal change of metrics that replaces the original metric g with $r_L^{-2}g$. Some of the vector fields on the base manifold become singular in the new coordinates (in our language, they do not *lift* to the blow-up). Multiplying them with the distance function r_L eliminates this singularity and does not affect too much the resulting differential operators. At the level of metrics, this corresponds to the conformal change of metric $g \to r_L^{-2}g$ mentioned above. We are thus lead to study vector fields of the form $r_L\mathcal{V}$, where $\mathcal{V}$ is a given Lie algebra of vector fields (a finitely generated, projective module in all our examples). This motivates our definition of the desingularization of Lie algebroids. In Analysis, one may want then to integrate the resulting desingularized Lie

algebroid. Relevant result in this sense were obtained in [16, 46]. However, what our results show are that, if one is given a natural groupoid integrating the *original* (non-desingularized) Lie groupoid (with sections $\mathcal{V}$), then one can construct starting from the initial groupoid a new groupoid that will integrate the desingularized Lie groupoid and at the same time preserve the basic properties of the original groupoid.

Related to the above remark, let us mention that it would be interesting to see if, given a Poisson groupoid structure on $\mathcal{G}$, whether this structure lifts to a Poisson groupoid structure on $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]$ (probably not) or on $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]_{ni}$ (probably yes, but only under some conditions). Some possibly relevant results in this direction can be found in [29, 21, 40, 49].

4. Extensions and examples

This final section contains an extension of the results of the last subsection to anisotropic desingularizations and an example related to the so called "edge calculus."

4.1. **The Lie algebroid of the anisotropic blow-up.** At the level of groupoids, we obtain the following definition, thus making Corollary 3.23 the starting point for the definition of the anisotropic blow-up.

Definition 4.1. Let us use the notation of Theorem 3.19 and of its proof. Let $\mathcal{W}_{ni}$ be the set of sections ξ of A over $M \smallsetminus L$ such that, in the neighborhood of every point of $M_1 := [M : L]$, ξ is the restriction of a section of

$$\Gamma(M_1; p_1^*(A_1)) \oplus r_L\Gamma(M_1; p_2^*(A_2)) \oplus \Gamma(M_1; p_3^*(A_3)) .$$

Then $\mathcal{W}_{in}$ is a projective module over $M_1 := [M : L]$ and a Lie algebra, and hence it identifies with the sections of a Lie algebroid $[[A : L]]_{ni} \to [M : L]$.

Thus the difference between Corollary 3.23 and Definition 4.1 is that we have dropped the factor r on the first component in Definition 4.1.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a Lie groupoid with units M and $L \subset M$ be an $A(\mathcal{G})$-tame submanifold $L \subset M$. Then the Lie algebroid of $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]_{ni}$ is canonically isomorphic to $[[A(\mathcal{G}) : L]]_{ni}$. In particular, $\Gamma(A([[\mathcal{G} : L]]))$ is a Lie ideal in $\Gamma(A([[\mathcal{G} : L]]_{ni}))$.*

Proof. The proof of the first part is identical to that of Theorem 3.24. The second part follows from the fact that $r\Gamma(M; p_1^*(A_1))$ is a Lie ideal in $\Gamma(M; p_1^*(A_1))$. □

At the level of groupoids, one obtaines an action of $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]_{ni}$ on $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]$ for which the morphism Ψ is equivariant.

4.2. **The asymptotically hyperbolic modification.** On can consider also the case when $L \subset M$ has a tubular neighborhood that is not a ball bundle, but something similar. Let us assume then that $L \subset M$ is a face of codimension n that is a manifold with corners in its own. We assume that the neighborhood U of L is such that $U \simeq L \times [0, 1)^n$, with $\pi : U \simeq L \times [0, 1)^n \to L$ being the projection onto the first component. Then our methods extend without change in this case, the result being quite similar. Theorem 3.3 and its proof extend without change to this setting. So do the definition of $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]$ and of its anisotropic analog, $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]_{ni}$, as well as the results on their structure and Lie algebroid. One just has to consider $S := L \times (S^{n-1} \cap [0, \infty)^n)$.

The case when L is of codimension one is especially relevant, since it is related to the study of asymptotically hyperbolic spaces, see, for instance [2, 8, 18, 22, 31] and the references therein.

4.3. **The local structure of the desingularization for pair groupoids.** Let us see what these constructions become in the particular, but important case when we apply these constructions to the pair groupoid. For the purpose of further reference, let us introduce the groupoid $\mathcal{H}_k$ defined as the semidirect product with $\mathbb{R}^*_+$ of the adiabatic groupoid $(\mathbb{R}^k)^2_{ad}$ of the pair groupoid $(\mathbb{R}^k)^2$, that is,

$$\mathcal{H}_k \,:=\, (\mathbb{R}^k)^2_{ad} \rtimes \mathbb{R}^*_+ \,=\, \mathbb{R}^k \times G \sqcup \left(\mathbb{R}^k \times (0,\infty)\right)^2,$$

where G is the semi-direct product $\mathbb{R}^k \rtimes \mathbb{R}^*_+$ and $\sqcup$ denotes again the disjoint union.

Example 4.3. Let us assume that $\mathcal{G} := \mathbb{R}^{n+k} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+k}$ is the pair groupoid and that $L = \mathbb{R}^k \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+k} =: M$. This gives $\mathcal{H} = L \times L$. We have $A(\mathcal{G}) = T\mathbb{R}^{n+k}$, and hence L is an $A(\mathcal{G})$-tame submanifold. We are, in fact, in the setting of Definition 3.7, with $E = M$ and $\pi : E \to L$ the natural projection. We have already seen that $[M : L] \,\simeq\, S^{n-1} \times [0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^k$. By definition $[[\mathcal{G} : L]] := \pi^{\downarrow\downarrow}(\mathcal{H}_k)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}[[\mathcal{G} : L]] \,=\, \left(S^{n-1}\right)^2 \times \mathcal{H}_k \,&\simeq\, \left(S^{n-1}\right)^2 \times \left[\mathbb{R}^k \times G \sqcup \left(\mathbb{R}^k \times (0,\infty)\right)^2\right] \\ &\simeq\, \left(S^{n-1}\right)^2 \times \mathbb{R}^k \times G \sqcup \left(S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^k \times (0,\infty)\right)^2,\end{aligned}$$

where the first set in the disjoint union corresponds to the restriction to S, all sets of the form X^2 represent pair groupoids, and $G = \mathbb{R}^k \rtimes \mathbb{R}^*_+$, as before.

The example of the anisotropic desingularization is very similar.

Example 4.4. We use the same framework as in the last example, then

$$[[\mathcal{G} : L]]_{ni} \,=\, \left(S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^k\right)^2 \times \mathbb{R}^*_+ \,\sqcup\, \left(S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^k \times (0,\infty)\right)^2 \,=\, \left(S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^k\right)^2 \times \mathcal{T},$$

where $\mathcal{T} := [0,\infty) \rtimes \mathbb{R}^*_+$, as in 2.2.6. By writing $G = \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^*_+$ as *sets*, we see that $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]$ and $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]_{ni}$ identify as sets (but not as groupoids!). In fact, with these identifications, the natural morphism $\Psi : [[\mathcal{G} : L]] \to [[\mathcal{G} : L]]_{ni}$ becomes $\Psi(s_1, s_1, x_1, x_2, t) = (s_1, s_1, x_1, 0, t)$, if

$$(s_1, s_1, x_1, x_2, t) \,\in\, \left(S^{n-1}\right)^2 \times \mathbb{R}^k \times G \,=\, \left(S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^k\right)^2 \times \mathbb{R}^*_+ \,.$$

For $n = 1$, one may want to replace $(S^0)^2$ with simply $(S^0)^2$, which would make the resulting groupoid d-connected.

The case of an asymptotically hyperbolic modification is completely similar.

Example 4.5. In case we replace $\mathbb{R}^{n+k}$ in the above example with $\mathbb{R}^k \times [0,\infty)^n$, we simply replace the sphere S^{n-1} with $S^{n-1} \cap [0,\infty)^k$.

Example 4.6. The simplest case is the one that models a true hyperbolic space, that is, $L = \mathbb{R}^k$ and $M = L \times [0,\infty)$. Then we have $[[\mathcal{G} : L]] = \mathcal{H}_k$ and $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]_{ni} = \left(\mathbb{R}^k\right)^2 \times \mathbb{R}^*_+ \sqcup \left(\mathbb{R}^k \times (0,\infty)\right)^2 = \left(\mathbb{R}^k\right)^2 \times \mathcal{T}$.

4.4. **An example: the 'edge calculus' groupoid.** Let us conclude with a simple example. That is, we now treat the desingularization of a groupoid with a smooth set of units over a smooth manifold. Thus neither the large manifold nor its submanifold have corners. This example is the one needed to recover the pseudodifferential calculi of Grushin [20], Mazzeo [38], and Schulze [54].

Remark 4.7. Let M be a smooth, compact, connected manifold (so M has no corners). Recall the path groupoid of M, consisting of homotopy classes of end-point preserving paths $[0,1] \to M$. It is a d-simply-connected Lie groupoid integrating TM (that is, its Lie algebroid is isomorphic to TM), so it is the maximal d-connected Lie groupoid with this property. On the other hand, the minimal groupoid integrating TM is $\mathcal{G} = M \times M$. In general, a d-connected groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ integrating TM will be a quotient of $\mathcal{P}(M)$, explicitly described in [21] (see also [39]). For analysis questions, it is typically more natural to choose for $\mathcal{G}$ the minimal integrating groupoid $M \times M$. We notice that in analysis one has to use sometimes groupoids that are not d-connected [10].

We shall fix in what follows a smooth, compact, connected manifold M (so M has no corners) and a d-connected Lie groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ integrating the Lie algebroid $TM \to M$. The following example is related to some earlier results of Grushin [20]. See also Coriasco-Schulze [12], Guillarmou-Moroianu-Park [22], Lauter-Moroianu [30], Lauter-Nistor [31], Mazzeo [38], Schulze [54], and others, and can be used to define the so-called "edge calculus".

Example 4.8. Let $L \subset M$ be an embedded smooth submanifold with tubular neighborhood U that we identify with the set of vectors of length < 1 in NL, the normal bundle to L in M, as in 3.4. We denote by $\pi : S := \partial U \to L$ the natural projection. Then recall that the blow-up $[M : L]$ of M with respect to L is the disjoint union

$$[M : L] := (M \smallsetminus L) \sqcup S\,,$$

with the topology of a manifold with boundary S. We have that L is automatically $A(\mathcal{G}) = TM$-tame, so we can define $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]$ (Definition 3.11), which is a Lie groupoid with base $[M : L]$.

Let us spell out the structure of $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]$ in order to better understand the desingularization construction.

Remark 4.9. rem.str.desing We continue to use the notation introduced in Example 4.8. By the definition of the groupoid $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]$, the open set $U_0 := M \smallsetminus L = [M : L] \smallsetminus S$ is a $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]$-invariant subset and the restriction $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]_{U_0}$ coincides with the reduction $\mathcal{G}_{U_0}^{U_0}$. In particular, if $\mathcal{G} = M \times M$, then $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]_{U_0} = \mathcal{G}_{U_0}^{U_0} = U_0 \times U_0$, the pair groupoid. On the other hand, the restriction of $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]$ to $S := [M : L] \smallsetminus U_0$ is a fibered pull-back groupoid defined as follows. We consider first $TL \to L$, regarded as a bundle of (commutative) Lie groups. We let $\mathbb{R}_+^*$ act on the fibers of $TL \to L$ by dilation and define the bundle of Lie groups $G_S \to L$ by $G_S := TL \rtimes \mathbb{R}_+^* \to L$, that is, the group bundle over L obtained by taking the semi-direct product of TL, by the action of $\mathbb{R}_+^*$ by dilations. (See also Example 2.4.) Then $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]_S := \pi^{\downarrow\downarrow}(G)$. In particular, $[[\mathcal{G} : L]]_S$ does not depend on the choice of integrating groupoid $\mathcal{G}$.

Remark 4.10. Let us choose $\mathcal{G} := M \times M$. As mentioned above, if a Lie group acts on M leaving L invariant, then it will act on $\mathcal{G}$, and hence also on $[\mathcal{G} : L]$, by Proposition 3.17. This yields hence also an action of Γ on the edge calculus [20, 38, 52, 54]. See also [28, 48, 56, 57].

Remark 4.11. By choosing $\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{P}(M)$, one obtains a "covering edge calculus," that is, a calculus that is on the universal covering manifold $\widetilde{M} \to M$, is invariant with respect to the group of deck transformations, and respects the edge structure along the lift of L to $\widetilde{M}$. See [45] for applications of the covering calculus.

By iterating this construction as in [1], one obtains integral kernel operators on polyhedral domains. It would be interesting to extend this example to the pseudodifferential calculus on manifolds with boundary [7].

References

[1] B. Ammann, Catarina Carvalho, and V. Nistor. Regularity for eigenfunctions of Schrödinger operators. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 101(1):49–84, 2012.

[2] B. Ammann and N. Grosse. L^p-spectrum of the dirac operator on products with hyperbolic spaces. preprint arXiv:math/1405.2830 [math.DG], 2014.

[3] B. Ammann, R. Lauter, and V. Nistor. On the geometry of Riemannian manifolds with a Lie structure at infinity. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, (1-4):161–193, 2004.

[4] I. Androulidakis and G. Skandalis. Pseudodifferential calculus on a singular foliation. *J. Noncommut. Geom.*, 5(1):125–152, 2011.

[5] G. Arone and M. Kankaanrinta. On the functoriality of the blow-up construction. *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin*, 17(5):821–832, 2010.

[6] C. Bacuta, A. Mazzucato, V. Nistor, and L. Zikatanov. Interface and mixed boundary value problems on n-dimensional polyhedral domains. *Doc. Math.*, 15:687–745, 2010.

[7] K. Bohlen. Boutet de Monvel operators on singular manifolds. CR Acad Sci Paris (to appear).

[8] J.-M. Bouclet. Resolvent estimates for the Laplacian on asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 7(3):527–561, 2006.

[9] M. Buneci. Groupoid C^*-algebras. *Surv. Math. Appl.*, 1:71–98 (electronic), 2006.

[10] C. Carvalho and Yu Qiao. Layer potentials C^*-algebras of domains with conical points. *Cent. Eur. J. Math.*, 11(1):27–54, 2013.

[11] A. Connes. *Noncommutative geometry*. Academic Press, San Diego, 1994.

[12] S. Coriasco and B.-W. Schulze. Edge problems on configurations with model cones of different dimensions. *Osaka J. Math.*, 43(1):63–102, 2006.

[13] J. Cuntz and D. Quillen. Excision in bivariant periodic cyclic cohomology. *Invent. Math.*, 127:67–98, 1997.

[14] M. Damak and V. Georgescu. Self-adjoint operators affiliated to C^*-algebras. *Rev. Math. Phys.*, 16(2):257–280, 2004.

[15] Monique Dauge. *Elliptic boundary value problems on corner domains*, volume 1341 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988. Smoothness and asymptotics of solutions.

[16] C. Debord. Holonomy groupoids of singular foliations. *J. Differential Geom.*, 58(3):467–500, 2001.

[17] C. Debord and G. Skandalis. Adiabatic groupoid, crossed product by $\mathbb{R}_+^*$ and pseudodifferential calculus. *Adv. Math.*, 257:66–91, 2014.

[18] E. Delay. Spectrum of the Lichnerowicz Laplacian on asymptotically hyperbolic surfaces. *Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.*, 11(3-4):365–379, 2008.

[19] V. Georgescu and V. Nistor. The essential spectrum of N-body systems with asymptotically homogeneous order-zero interactions. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 352(12):1023–1027, 2014.

[20] V. V. Grušin. A certain class of elliptic pseudodifferential operators that are degenerate on a submanifold. *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)*, 84 (126):163–195, 1971.

[21] M. Gualtieri and Songhao Li. Symplectic groupoids of log symplectic manifolds. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (11):3022–3074, 2014.

[22] C. Guillarmou, S. Moroianu, and Jinsung Park. Eta invariant and Selberg zeta function of odd type over convex co-compact hyperbolic manifolds. *Adv. Math.*, 225(5):2464–2516, 2010.

[23] Ph. Higgins and K. Mackenzie. Algebraic constructions in the category of Lie algebroids. *J. Algebra*, 129(1):194–230, 1990.

[24] Ph. Higgins and K. Mackenzie. Fibrations and quotients of differentiable groupoids. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 42(1):101–110, 1990.

[25] D. Joyce. On manifolds with corners. In *Advances in geometric analysis*, volume 21 of *Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM)*, pages 225–258. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2012.
[26] Max Karoubi. *K-theory*, volume 226 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1978.
[27] C. Kottke and R. Melrose. Generalized blow-up of corners and fiber products. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 367(1):651–705, 2015.
[28] T. Krainer. A calculus of abstract edge pseudodifferential operators of type (ρ, δ). arXiv:math/1403.6100 [math.AP], 2014.
[29] C. Laurent-Gengoux. From lie groupoids to resolution of singularities. applications to symplectic and poisson resolutions. preprint arXiv.org/0610288.pdf [math.DG], 2006.
[30] R. Lauter and S. Moroianu. Fredholm theory for degenerate pseudodifferential operators on manifolds with fibered boundaries. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 26:233–283, 2001.
[31] R. Lauter and V. Nistor. Analysis of geometric operators on open manifolds: a groupoid approach. In *Quantization of singular symplectic quotients*, volume 198 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 181–229. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001.
[32] K. Mackenzie. *Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids in differential geometry*, volume 124 of *LMS Lect. Note Series*. Cambridge U. Press, Cambridge, 1987.
[33] K. Mackenzie. *General theory of Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids*, volume 213 of *LMS Lect. Note Series*. Cambridge U. Press, Cambridge, 2005.
[34] M. Măntoiu. C^*-algebras, dynamical systems at infinity and the essential spectrum of generalized Schrödinger operators. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 550:211–229, 2002.
[35] M. Măntoiu, Radu Purice, and Serge Richard. Spectral and propagation results for magnetic Schrödinger operators; a C^*-algebraic framework. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 250(1):42–67, 2007.
[36] I. Mărcuţ. Rigidity around Poisson submanifolds. *Acta Math.*, 213(1):137–198, 2014.
[37] J. Margalef-Roig and E. Outerelo Domínguez. Topology of manifolds with corners. In *Handbook of global analysis*, pages 983–1033, 1216. Elsevier Sci. B. V., Amsterdam, 2008.
[38] R. Mazzeo. Elliptic theory of differential edge operators. I. *Commun. Partial Differ. Equations*, 16(10):1615–1664, 1991.
[39] I. Moerdijk and J. Mrčun. On integrability of infinitesimal actions. *Amer. J. Math.*, 124(3):567–593, 2002.
[40] I. Moerdijk and J. Mrčun. *Introduction to foliations and Lie groupoids*, volume 91 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
[41] B. Monthubert. Pseudodifferential calculus on manifolds with corners and groupoids. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 127(10):2871–2881, 1999.
[42] S. A. Nazarov and B. A. Plamenevsky. *Elliptic problems in domains with piecewise smooth boundaries*, volume 13 of *de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics*. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1994.
[43] F. Nicola and L. Rodino. *Global pseudo-differential calculus on Euclidean spaces*, volume 4 of *Pseudo-Differential Operators. Theory and Applications*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2010.
[44] V. Nistor. Analysis on singular spaces: Lie manifolds and operator algebras. Max Planck preprint 2015, submitted.
[45] V. Nistor. Higher index theorems and the boundary map in cyclic cohomology. *Doc. Math.*, 2:263–295 (electronic), 1997.
[46] V. Nistor. Groupoids and the integration of Lie algebroids. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 52(4):847–868, 2000.
[47] V. Nistor, A. Weinstein, and Ping Xu. Pseudodifferential operators on differential groupoids. *Pacific J. Math.*, 189(1):117–152, 1999.
[48] B. A. Plamenevskiĭ. *Algebras of pseudodifferential operators*, volume 43 of *Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series)*. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1989. Translated from the Russian by R. A. M. Hoksbergen.
[49] A. Polishchuk. Algebraic geometry of Poisson brackets. *J. Math. Sci. (New York)*, 84(5):1413–1444, 1997. Algebraic geometry, 7.
[50] J. Pradines. Théorie de Lie pour les groupoïdes différentiables. Calcul différenetiel dans la catégorie des groupoïdes infinitésimaux. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 264:A245–A248, 1967.
[51] G. Rinehart. Differential forms on general commutative algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 108:195–222, 1963.
[52] W. Rungrottheera, B.-W. Schulze, and M. W. Wong. Iterative properties of pseudo-differential operators on edge spaces. *J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl.*, 5(4):455–479, 2014.

[53] E. Schrohe. The symbols of an algebra of pseudodifferential operators. *Pacific J. Math.*, 125(1):211–224, 1986.
[54] B.-W. Schulze. Pseudo-differential operators on manifolds with edges. In *Symposium "Partial Differential Equations"*, volume 112 of *Teubner-Texte Math.*, pages 259–288. Teubner, 1989.
[55] B.-W. Schulze. *Pseudo-differential operators on manifolds with singularities*, volume 24 of *Studies in Mathematics and its Applications*. North-Holland Publishing Co., 1991.
[56] J. Seiler. Continuity of edge and corner pseudodifferential operators. *Math. Nachr.*, 205:163–182, 1999.
[57] M. I. Višik and V. V. Grušin. Degenerate elliptic differential and pseudodifferential operators. *Uspehi Mat. Nauk*, 25(4(154)):29–56, 1970.

Université de Lorraine, UFR MIM, Ile du Saulcy, CS 50128, 57045 METZ, France and Inst. Math. Romanian Acad. PO BOX 1-764, 014700 Bucharest Romania
E-mail address: victor.nistor@univ-lorraine.fr